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## 1. Introduction

Stantec has been commissioned by The Creamery Property Trust to assess the traffic implications of a neighbourhood centre located to the west of Menangle Railway Station in Menangle, NSW.
The scope of this Traffic Impact Assessment is to assess the capacity of both the existing and proposed road network to accommodate the proposed development in its entirety based on the latest plans, and identify any elements of the surrounding road network that may require improvements or upgrades.

A new frontage road linking Menangle Road with Stevens Road will be constructed as part of Stage 1 of the adjacent Mirvac residential subdivision development. The road will connect to Menangle Road via a new rural auxiliary left turn treatment, providing safe turning facilities for vehicles entering the development.

The latter stages of the Mirvac residential subdivision development will require the upgrading of the existing unsignalised Menangle Road / Station Street intersection to a roundabout, with Mirvac having committed to this via a Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) with Wollondilly Shire Council.

By way of summary, it is determined that the proposed Creamery Precinct development can be readily accommodated by the existing and future road network.

## 2. Background

Stantec has previously prepared a Traffic Impact Assessment for Stage 1 of the adjacent Mirvac residential subdivision (Stantec Stage 1 Report), comprising 97 residential lots, located between the proposed Creamery Precinct neighbourhood centre, Menangle Road and Station Street.

The residential subdivision proposed a new left-in / left-out access on Menangle Road, approximately 400 metres north of Station Street. The access is planned to connect Menangle Road with a new local road which runs along the northern boundary of Stage 1 of the residential subdivision, through to Stevens Road on the eastern side of the development.

The assessment also considered the potential traffic impacts of Stages 2-4 of the subdivision (based on development projections at the time of writing) and the proposed neighbourhood centre (based on the latest development schedule).
By way of summary, the report found that the Menangle Road / Station Street intersection would require upgrading following completion of both the Stage 1 Mirvac residential subdivision development (west of the rail line) and the Creamery Precinct development. Initial SIDRA modelling found that with a concept single lane roundabout layout, the intersection would operate at an excellent Level of Service ' $A$ ' in 2032.

Mirvac have committed to the funding and installation of the Menangle Road / Station Street roundabout as part of a VPA with Council.

## 3. <br> Existing Conditions

The subject site is located immediately to the west of Menangle Railway Station, and north of the planned residential subdivision discussed earlier in this report. Figure 3-1 below shows the location of the site in relation to the surrounding road network, while Figure $\mathbf{3 - 2}$ shows a recent aerial photograph of the site.


Figure 3-1: Site Location ${ }^{1}$
The site is currently occupied by several buildings, with the remainder of the site being undeveloped. Access is currently provided via several vehicular accesses along Stevens Road.
Notable facilities in the vicinity of the site include the Menangle Railway Station, located at the northern end of Stevens Road, and the Menangle Store, located on the south-eastern corner of the Menangle Road / Station Street intersection.

[^0]

Proposed Creamery Precinct Development - Menangle, NSW Site Location

### 3.2 Land Use Zoning

The subject site has recently been rezoned from RU1 to B1 (Neighbourhood Centre) and is shown below in Figure 3-3.


Figure 3-3: Land Use Zoning ${ }^{1}$

### 3.3 Local Road Network

Menangle Road is classified as a Regional Road and is under the care and maintenance of Wollondilly Shire Council (Council). It runs in a general northeast-southwest alignment between Picton Road in Maldon and its terminus in Campbellown. In the vicinity of Menangle township, Menangle Road is a twolane, two-way road, with each traffic lane being approximately 3.5 metres wide, and has a total carriageway width of approximately 8.9 metres. Menangle Road has a speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ through Menangle township, and a speed limit of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to the north and south of Menangle.

Station Street is a local road under the care and maintenance of Council. It runs in an east-west alignment, extending west from Menangle Road to its intersection with Moreton Park Road where it terminates. In the vicinity of Menangle township, Station Street is a two-lane, two-way road, with a total carriageway width of approximately 9.5 metres. At the intersection of Menangle Road / Station Street, it widens to approximately 13 metres, catering for on-street parking on both sides of the road, and an approximate 2.0 -metre-wide painted median.

[^1]Stevens Road is also a local road, extending north from the northern side of Station Street. It runs parallel to the Southern Highlands Railway line and provides public access to the Menangle Railway Station. It has an approximate sealed width of 5.2 metres near Station Street, narrowing to approximately 4.0 metres further north.

### 3.4 Sustainable Transport

The site has very good access to sustainable transport modes, primarily due to it being located adjacent to the Menangle Railway Station, which services the Southern Highlands Line.

Bus stops are located on Menangle Road and Station Street within vicinity of the site. The bus routes that service the bus stops include:

- Route 49 - Camden to Menangle and Razorback (Loop Service);
- Route 899 - Menangle to Campbelltown via Menangle Park; and
- Route 900 - Picton to Campbelltown via Narellan.

The bus network maps for these bus routes are shown in Figure 3-4 and Figure 3-5.

### 3.5 Walking and Cycling

Pedestrian footpaths are established along Station Street and Menangle Road in the vicinity of the Menangle Local Store. Wide grassed berms are provided on both sides of the roads in the wider area. No dedicated cycle facilities are provided in the vicinity of the site. It is understood that footpath facilities are planned to be provided throughout the residential subdivision to the south.


Figure 3-4: Bus Routes 49 and $889^{1}$

[^2]

Figure 3-5: Bus Route $90{ }^{1}$

[^3]
### 3.6 Road Safety

A search of the Road Safety Crash and Casualty Statistics Portal has been undertaken for the most recent five-year period 2013 to 2017 inclusive. A search area fronting the subject site has been assessed.
A total of two crashes have been identified within the crash area over the five-year period from 2013 to 2017. The crashes are summarised below:

- Both crashes occurred within 20 metres of the intersection of Menangle Road, Station Street and Woodbridge Road, as follows:
- One cross-traffic crash resulting in no injuries; and
- One off-road crash to the left resulting in a collision with an object, resulting in no injuries.
- No crashes occurred at the intersection of Station Street and Moreton Park Road;
- There were zero crashes recorded along Stevens Road or Moreton Park Road within the search area; and
- Zero fatalities were recorded over the five-year period.

Figure 3-6 below shows the location of the recorded crashes between 2013 and 2017.


Figure 3-6: Crash Locations ${ }^{1}$
Accordingly, it is considered that there is no apparent evidence of a recurrent, persistent or adverse road crash history within the vicinity of the site that would raise a particular local road safety concern.

[^4]
## 4. Proposed Development

It is proposed to develop the site into a neighbourhood centre known as The Creamery Precinct, with a total GFA of approximately $4,940 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. More specifically, the proposed development comprises the land uses shown in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1: Proposed Development Schedule

| Land Use | Gross Floor Area ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | Maximum Capacity | Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Creamery Bistro | 150 | 83 Patrons | 10 Employees |
| The Creamery Wine Bar | 30 | 16 Patrons | 2 Employees |
| The Creamery Bar (internal) | 150 | 83 Patrons | 4 Employees |
| The Creamery Bar (outdoor area) | 350 | 195 Patrons | 3 Employees |
| The Creamery Restaurant | 70 | 40 Patrons | 6 Employees |
| The Creamery Front Courtyard | 600 | 330 Patrons | 4 Employees |
| Rotolactor Function Centre | 600 | 400 Patrons | 20 Employees |
| General Store | 65 | 11 Patrons | 2 Employees |
| Cooking School | 65 | 16 Patrons | 2 Employees |
| Conference Room | 50 | 40 Patrons | 1 Employee |
| Hotel | 500 | 24 Guests | 6 Employees |
| Event Shed (Markets) | 880 | 250 Patrons | 80 Operators |
| Children's Play Area | 980 | 140 Children | 1 Employee |
| Bakery (drive through) | N/A | 34 Patrons | 3 Employees |
| Bakery Rear Café/Bar | 450 | 113 Patrons | 10 Employees |

The proposal also comprises approximately 485 car parking spaces across four separate car parking spaces as follows:

- 130 car parking spaces in the northern car park;
- 150 car parking spaces in the southern car park;
- 20 car parking spaces in the hotel car park; and
- 185 car parking spaces in a dedicated employee car park.

In addition, 55 motorcycle and scooter parking spaces are proposed to be provided in a dedicated parking area.
Site access is proposed to be via a new east-west road along the southern side of the site, which will connect Menangle Road to Stevens Road, with the planned Stage 1 of the Mirvac residential subdivision on the southern side of the road.

Operational hours are proposed to vary between each land use, and are discussed further in Section 5 of this report.
A complete development schedule has been included for reference in Appendix $\mathbf{A}$, with proposed development plans included in Appendix B.

## 5. Proposed Operations

The proposed operations schedule lists the planned days and hours of operations for each land use within the proposed development. The schedule also includes expected patron capacities and staff requirements and is summarised in Table 5.1, with the full proposed development schedule provided in Appendix A.

Table 5.1: Proposed Development Operational Hours

| Land Use | Proposed Weekday Operational Hours | Proposed Weekend Operational Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Creamery Bistro | 11:00am - 10:00pm | 9:00am - 10:00pm |
| The Creamery Wine Bar | 4:00pm - 2:00am | 12:00pm - 2:00am |
| The Creamery Bar (internal) | 10:00am - 2:00am | 9:00am - 2:00am |
| The Creamery Bar (outdoor area) | 12:00pm - 2:00am | 10:00am - 2:00am |
| The Creamery Restaurant | 12:00pm - 10:00pm | 12:00pm - 10:00pm |
| The Creamery Front Courtyard | 7:00am - 10:00pm | 7:00am - 10:00pm |
| Rotolactor Function Centre | 12:00pm - 3:00am | 12:00pm - 3:00am |
| General Store | 7:00am - 5:00pm | 7:00am - 5:00pm |
| Cooking School | 7:00pm - 10:00pm (Fridays only) | 7:00pm - 10:00pm |
| Conference Room | 9:00am - 4:00pm | Closed |
| Hotel | 24 Hours | 24 Hours |
| Event Shed (Markets) | Closed | 8:00am - 12:00pm |
| Children's Play Area | 11:00am-10:00pm | 11:00am-10:00pm |
| Bakery (drive through) | 7:00am - 10:00pm | 7:00am - 10:00pm |
| Bakery Rear Café / Bar | 7:00am - 10:00pm | 7:00am - 10:00pm |

## 6. Site Access

Vehicular access to the proposed Creamery Precinct development is proposed to be via several access locations along the new east-west road which runs along the northern boundary of Stage 1 of the planned Mirvac residential subdivision.

The access points will provide vehicular access to car parking areas, bus areas, drive through facilities and the function centre arrival court.

It is currently unknown what the speed limit of the new east-west road will be when operational, however given the future nature of the traffic environment, it is likely that it will be a low speed environment across the site frontage. Accordingly, it is considered that the provision of several access locations along the site frontage will not have an adverse impact on the future local traffic environment.

### 6.1 Service Vehicles

A loading area is provided along the eastern side of the site, accessed via a secure access road. The loading area is adjacent to a number of the dining and bar developments, providing convenient access to the venues. Service vehicles are expected to be able to reverse into the loading area, and will be able to enter and exit the site in a forward direction.

### 6.2 Porte Cochere

A porte cochere is proposed to be provided in front of the function centre, allowing larger vehicles such as coaches and minibuses to access the site and pick-up / drop-off passengers. The porte cochere is intended to also serve as a taxi and private vehicle pick-up / drop-off area.

## 7. Traffic Assessment

### 7.1 Existing Traffic Volumes

Traffic movement counts were commissioned by Stantec on Thursday 3 May 2018 and Saturday 23 February 2019 for the intersection of Menangle Road / Station Street. Full results are included for reference in Appendix $\mathbf{C}$.

The results have been summarised into weekday AM and PM and weekend peak hour turning movement counts (Figure 7-1), and peak hour road volumes (Table 7.1) along Menangle Road north of Station Street, and Station Street to the east of Menangle Road, given in vehicles per hour (vph).

Table 7.1: Traffic Volumes Along Menangle Road (North) and Station Street

| Approach Road | Direction | AM Peak Hour (vph) | PM Peak Hour (vph) | Weekend Peak Hour (vph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Menangle Road (North) | Northbound | 753 | 285 | 252 |
|  | Southbound | 218 | 566 | 260 |
| Station Street | Eastbound | 19 | 31 | 27 |
|  | Westbound | 41 | 24 | 22 |

The traffic volumes, as shown in the table above, are estimated to be accurate on the roads at locations adjacent to the intersection. Due to the small number of developments along Menangle Road to the north of the intersection and Station Street to the east of the intersection, these peak hour volumes are also considered to be applicable for both of these roads.
It is noted that the weekday surveys were undertaken in May 2018 while the weekend surveys were undertaken in February 2019. It is considered that the 2018 surveys are still relevant given that they were recorded within the previous 12 months at time of writing of this report.

### 7.2 Trip Generation

The RTA Guide to Traffic Generating Developments [2002] (RTA Guide) and RMS' Guide to Traffic Generation Developments - Technical Direction $201304 a($ TDT 2013/04a) specify traffic generation rates for different land uses.
Due to the nature of the proposed Creamery Precinct development, it is considered that the weekday evening peak hour of the development would fall outside the commuter peak hour as established in the surveys (4:30pm-5:30pm).
Accordingly, commentary has been provided on an additional scenario for the 6:00pm-7:00pm period, using the existing survey data and the projected development traffic during this period, discussed in Section 7.2.4 of this report. By way of summary, however, the network peak hour is expected to accommodate a greater volume of traffic than a later peak hour, and has therefore been adopted for the purposes of this assessment.

### 7.2.1 Assumptions

Trip generation analyses has been calculated based on the following rates and assumptions:

- $20 \%$ of traffic generated by the restaurant / bar land uses would travel to the east (to the future stages of the Mirvac residential subdivision) or internally within Stage 1 of the subdivision (or translate to a pedestrian movement instead of a vehicular movement given the rural nature of the development and the presence of alcohol at these proposed developments);
- $20 \%$ of the trips associated with the drive through bakery would be associated with the adjacent residential subdivision, and therefore are considered as 'passer-by' trips (trips already on the road network); and
- Where capacities are discussed in time periods of more than one hour, it is conservatively assumed that each development is constantly at capacity, with at least one full turnover occurring during the peak hour (for weekday evening development peak and weekend midday and evening peak periods).


## Legend <br> xx Total Vehicles <br> (xx) Heavy Vehicles



Thursday, 3 May 2018 AM PEAK HOUR 7:30am-8:30am


Thursday, 3 May 2018 PM PEAK HOUR 4:30pm-5:30pm


Saturday, 23 Feb 2019 MIDDAY PEAK HOUR 11:45am-12:45pm

Menangle Road / Station Street Intersection
Stantec

### 7.2.2 Vehicle Occupancies

Vehicle occupancies have been adopted as shown in Table 7.2, and are detailed further below.
Table 7.2: Adopted Vehicle Occupancy Rates

| Proposed Development | Adopted Vehicle Occupancy Rate (persons/veh) | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Creamery Bistro | 2.2 | RTA Guide (for restaurants) |
| The Creamery Wine Bar | 2.2 | RTA Guide (for restaurants) |
| The Creamery Bar (internal) | 2.2 | RTA Guide (for restaurants) |
| The Creamery Bar (outdoor area) | 2.2 | RTA Guide (for restaurants) |
| The Creamery Restaurant | 2.2 | RTA Guide (for restaurants) |
| The Creamery Front Courtyard | 2.2 | RTA Guide (for restaurants) |
| Rotolactor Function Centre | 2.5 | See Section 7.2.2.1 |
| General Store | N/A | See Section 7.2.2.2 |
| Cooking School | 1.5 | See Section 7.2.2.3 |
| Conference Room | 1.0 | See Section 7.2.2.4 |
| Hotel | 1.5 | See Section 7.2.2.5 |
| Event Shed (Markets) | 2.3 | RTA Guide (for markets) |
| Children's Play Area | N/A | N/A |
| Bakery (drive through) | N/A | See Section 7.2.2.6 |
| Bakery Rear Café / Bar | 2.2 | RTA Guide (for restaurants) |

### 7.2.2. 1 Function Centre

Given the rural nature of the site, and the likelihood of alcohol being served (such as weddings, parties and large-scale events), it was assumed that higher occupancies would be experienced for vehicles travelling to and from the site.

### 7.2.2.2 General Store

It is considered that the General Store will be the type of store that will mainly sell tourist-related souvenirs and local products, as opposed to a general convenience store. Accordingly, all traffic associated with the store is assumed to be 'passer-by' traffic, or traffic that is travelling to the site for other purposes. As such, no additional traffic is assumed to be generated by the General Store.

### 7.2.2.3 Cooking School

The Cooking School was assumed to have an equivalent balance of one and two occupants per vehicle, resulting in an average occupancy of 1.5 per vehicle.

### 7.2.2.4 Conference Room

It is conservatively assumed that the majority of people attending conferences would arrive in individual vehicles and park on-site. In reality, some patrons will likely utilise the local public transport services or car share. Accordingly, a passenger occupancy rate of 1.0 people per vehicle represents a conservative estimate of car occupancy associated with the conference room.

### 7.2.2.5 Hotel

It is assumed that occupants of vehicles travelling to the hotel would have an average occupancy of 1.5 people per vehicle, with few guests travelling to the site via public transport.

### 7.2.2.6 Bakery (Drive Through)

Based on an average service time of just over three minutes, it is estimated that approximately 17 vehicles per hour will access the drive through component of the bakery. Because there are no passengers exiting the vehicles and entering the bakery itself, vehicle occupancy is not considered to be a relevant factor when calculating expected trip generation associated with the drive through component of the bakery.

### 7.2.3 Trip Generation

Based on the above assumptions, the adopted trip generation rates for each land use within the proposed development are shown in Table 7.3.

Several assumptions have been made based on the expected peak times for each individual land use. During the network PM peak hour (4:30pm to 5:30pm) for example, The Creamery drinking and dining developments are open, however the expected peak hour for restaurants is typically later than this.

Similarly, the Event Shed only operates until 12:00pm on weekend days, and therefore the weekend peak hour has been selected to capture the completion of the Event Shed and the opening of some of the dining and bar developments. This midday period was also forecast to generate a higher volume of traffic than the weekend evening peak hour.

Accordingly, the following peak periods have been assessed as part of this assessment:

- AM Network peak hour (7:30am to 8:30am);
- PM Network peak hour (4:30pm to 5:30pm); and
- Weekend peak hour (11:45am to 12:45pm).

Table 7.3: Adopted Trip Generation Rates for Proposed Development

| Proposed Land Use | Size / Capacity | Trip Generation Rate (yph per person / 100 ${ }^{2}$ GFA) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Network AM Peak | Network PM Peak | Weekend Peak |
| The Creamery Bistro | 83 Patrons (per two hours) 10 Staff | N/A | $\begin{gathered} 83 \text { pats @ } 2.2 \\ \text { pat/veh } \\ 1.0 \text { trip per staff } \end{gathered}$ | 83 pats @ 2.2 pat/veh .5 trip per staff ${ }^{2}$ |
| The Creamery Wine Bar | 16 Patrons (per two hours) 2 Staff | N/A | $\begin{gathered} 16 \text { pats @ } 2.2 \\ \text { pat/veh } \\ 1.0 \text { trip per staff } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \text { pats @ } 2.2 \\ \text { pat/veh } 2 \\ 1.0 \text { trip per staff } \end{gathered}$ |
| The Creamery Bar (internal) | 83 Patrons (per two-four hours) 7 Staff | N/A | 278 pats @ 2.2 pat/veh ${ }^{1}$ | 278 pats @ 2.2 pat/veh ${ }^{2}$ |
| The Creamery Bar (outdoor area) | 195 Patrons (per two-four hours) 3 Staff | N/A | 1.0 trip per staff | 2.0 trips per staff |
| The Creamery Restaurant | 40 Patrons (per two hours) 6 Staff | N/A | $\begin{gathered} 40 \text { pats @ } 2.2 \\ \text { pat/veh1 } \\ 1.0 \text { trip per staff } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \text { pats @ } 2.2 \\ \text { pat/veh } 2 \\ 1.0 \text { trip per staff } \end{gathered}$ |
| The Creamery Front Courtyard | 330 Patrons (per two-four hours) 4 Staff | 330 pats @ $2.2 \mathrm{pat}^{2} \mathrm{veh}^{3}$ 1.0 trip per staff | 330 pats @ 2.2 pat/veh ${ }^{1}$ <br> 1.0 trip per staff | 330 pats @ 2.2 pat/veh <br> 1.0 trip per staff |
| Rotolactor <br> Function Centre | 400 Patrons <br> 20 Staff | N/A | N/A | 400 pats @ 2.5 pats/veh |
| General Store | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ & 2 \text { Staff } \end{aligned}$ | 5.0 trips per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 5.0 trips per 100m² | 5.0 trips per 100m² |
| Cooking School | 16 Patrons 2 Staff | N/A | N/A | N/A |

[^5]| Proposed Land Use | Size / Capacity | Trip Generation Rate <br> (yph per person / 100 ${ }^{2}$ GFA) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conference Room | 40 Patrons <br> 1 Staff | 40 pats @ 1.2 pat/veh 1.0 trip per staff | N/A | N/A |
| Hotel | 24 Patrons per day 6 Staff | 24 pats @ 1.5 pat/veh ${ }^{1}$ | 24 pats @ 1.5 pat/veh' | 24 pats @ 1.5 pat/veh' |
| Event Shed (Markets) | 250 Patrons <br> 80 Operators | N/A | N/A | 250 pats @ 2.3 pats/veh 1.0 trip per operator ${ }^{2}$ |
| Children's Play Area | $\begin{aligned} & 980 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ & 140 \text { Children } \\ & 1 \text { Staff } \end{aligned}$ | N/A ${ }^{3}$ | $N / A^{3}$ | N/A ${ }^{3}$ |
| Bakery (drive through) | 34 Patrons (per hour) <br> 3 Staff | 2.0 trips per patron <br> 1.0 trip per staff | 2.0 trips per patron | 2.0 trips per patron 2.0 trips per staff |
| Bakery Rear Café / Bar | 113 Patrons (every 1.5 hours) 10 Staff | $\begin{gathered} 113 \text { pats @ } 2.2 \\ \text { pat/veh } \\ 1.0 \text { trip per staff } \end{gathered}$ | 113 pats @ 2.2 pat/veh | 113 pats @ 2.2 pat/veh <br> 1.0 trip per staff |

The table above outlines the adopted trip generation rates used for the proposed development, and caters for the expected operational state of each land use at differing times of the day. It should be noted that for The Creamery dining and bar developments, it is assumed that $20 \%$ of trips generated by the developments will originate from the neighbouring residential development, and $20 \%$ of trips generated by the drive through bakery will be associated with the subdivision.
Table 7.4 shows the expected trip generation for the proposed development based on the above trip generation rates and assumptions.

Table 7.4: Expected Trip Generation for Proposed Development

| Proposed Land <br> Use | Size $/$ Capacity |  | Expected Trip Generation (vph) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^6]| Proposed Land <br> Use | Size / Capacity | Expected Trip Generation (vph) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 7.2.4 Proposed Development Weekday PM Peak Hour

Due to the nature of the proposed development, the weekday PM peak hour of the development is expected to occur later than the network PM peak hour. Traffic survey data shows that the 6:00pm to 7:00pm weekday hour represents approximately 326 vehicles per hour less than the network PM peak hour, or $63 \%$ of the recorded $4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ to $5: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ volumes. By way of summary, using the same methods described above but assuming that the restaurants and dining developments operate at $90 \%$ capacity and have full turnover during the peak hour, the projected development traffic generation is 284 vehicles per hour more than the proposed development during the network PM peak hour. Accordingly, as the net difference is approximately 40 vehicles less than the network PM peak hour, the network PM peak hour has been considered for this assessment.

### 7.3 Trip Distribution

Trip distribution percentages and directional splits have been estimated based on traffic survey data and first principles. For the purposes of this assessment, the following trip distribution percentages have been adopted, shown in Table 7.5.
Table 7.5: Adopted Inbound / Outbound Trip Distribution

| Proposed Land Use | Adopied Inbound / Outbound Splits |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Network AM Peak |  | Network PM Peak |  | Weekend Peak |  |
|  | Inbound | Outbound | Inbound | Outbound | Inbound | Outbound |
| The Creamery Bistro | N/A | N/A | 80\% | 20\% | 70\% | 30\% |
| The Creamery Wine Bar | N/A | N/A | 80\% | 20\% | 80\% | 20\% |
| The Creamery Bar (internal) | N/A | N/A | 80\% | 20\% | 70\% | 30\% |
| The Creamery Bar (outdoor area) | N/A | N/A | 80\% | 20\% | 70\% | 30\% |
| The Creamery Restaurant | N/A | N/A | 80\% | 20\% | 80\% | 20\% |
| The Creamery Front Courtyard | 80\% | 20\% | 80\% | 20\% | 70\% | 30\% |
| Rotolactor Function Centre | N/A | N/A | 80\% | 20\% | 90\% | 10\% |
| General Store | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Cooking School | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |


| Proposed Land Use | Network AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Network PM Peak |  | Weekend Peak |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inbound | Outbound | Inbound | Outbound | Inbound | Outbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conference Room | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel | $20 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Event Shed (Markets) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | $10 \%$ | $90 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bakery (drive through) | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bakery Rear Café / Bar | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $30 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

It is noted that some uses have not been assigned directional splits during peak hours. This is due to proposed operational hours of the land use not falling within the three peak hours as identified in the table above. The cooking school (week nights and weekend nights) and conference room (during weekdays) fall outside of all of the identified peak hours, and would reasonably be expected to be able to be accommodated by the off-peak traffic environment.
Accordingly, the trips expected to be generated by the proposed Creamery Precinct development shown in Table 7.4 have been adopted for the purposes of this assessment.

### 7.4 Future Traffic Growth

A $2 \%$ annual growth rate has been adopted for future year traffic analyses, which is considered to be conservative given the rural nature of the area. It is estimated that the proposed development will be complete toward the end of 2021, allowing for three and a half years of traffic growth for background volumes (weekday) and three years growth for the Saturday volumes. The forecasted 2021 peak hour traffic volumes shown in Figure 7-2.

As mentioned earlier in this report, a residential subdivision is being planned for the surrounding land area, with Stage 1 ( 97 residential lots) expected to be complete by 2020, and Stages $2-4$ expected to be complete by 2022. The scale of the Mirvac residential subdivision has been estimated at 350 lots, based on the recently approved planning proposal, subject to further assessment in the relevant Development Applications.

A 2032 model scenario has also been analysed, which represents a 10-year period after the completion of Stages 2-4 of the subdivision. Similarly, 14.5 years and 14 years of traffic growth have been applied to the existing weekday and weekend volumes respectively for the 2032 model scenario. These forecasted peak hour volumes are shown in Figure 7-3.

### 7.5 Heavy Vehicle Percentage

It is assumed that the current heavy vehicle percentages at each of the movements at the Menangle Road / Station Street intersection will remain the same in future modelling years. It is expected that the proposed development will generate a minimal amount of heavy vehicle movements during the network peak hours, and these movements have been accounted for in the retention of the heavy vehicle percentage.

### 7.6 SIDRA Analysis

Intersection analysis for the Menangle Road / Station Street intersection was undertaken for the existing modelling scenarios described in the following sections, using the software package SIDRA (Signalised and unsignalised Intersection Design and Research Aid). The full SIDRA movement summaries have been included for reference in Appendix D, while the concepts of intersection delay and level of service are included in Appendix E.
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### 7.6.1 Existing Volumes

The intersection was analysed using the layout as shown in Figure 7-4.


Figure 7-4: Adopted SIDRA Existing Menangle Road / Station Street Intersection Layout
The intersection was analysed using single lanes on each approach to conservatively estimate a worstcase scenario, whereby vehicles wishing to turn in opposite directions of each other (e.g. left and right) from the same approach would be forced to queue, rather than being able to perform the manoeuvre simultaneously. The SIDRA results for the existing scenario are summarised in Table 7.6 and Table 7.7.

Table 7.6: Menangle Road / Station Street SIDRA Analysis - Weekday Peak Hours (Existing)

| Approach Road | Turning Moveme | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Level of Service (LoS) | Degree of Saturation (DoS) | 95\%ile Queue (m) | Level of Service (LoS) | Degree of Saturation (DoS) | 95\%ile Queue (m) |
| Menangle Road (South) | Left | A | 0.160 | 0.3 | A | 0.068 | 0.3 |
|  | Through | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Station Street (East) | Left | A | 0.117 | 2.6 | A | 0.063 | 1.5 |
|  | Through | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Right | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| Menangle Road (North) | Left | A | 0.148 | 5.6 | A | 0.332 | 14.0 |
|  | Through | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Woodbridge Road (West) | Left | A | 0.461 | 22.6 | A | 0.157 | 4.6 |
|  | Through | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Right | B |  |  | B |  |  |

Table 7.7: Menangle Road / Station Street SIDRA Analysis - Saturday Peak Hour (Existing)

| Approach Road | Turning Movemen | Saturday Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Level of Service (LoS) | Degree of Saturation (DoS) | 95\%ile Queue (m) |
| Menangle Road (South) | Left | A | 0.069 | 0.1 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |
| Station Street (East) | Left | A | 0.037 | 0.9 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |
| Menangle Road (North) | Left | A | 0.151 | 5.2 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |
| Woodbridge Road (West) | Left | A | 0.124 | 3.5 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |

As can be seen from the tables above, the intersection currently operates at a very good LoS ' B ' in the weekday AM and PM peak hours, and an excellent LoS ' $A$ ' in the Saturday peak hour. The largest $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queve length occurs on Woodbridge Road in the weekday AM peak, and Menangle Road (north) in the weekday PM peak. These queve lengths are relatively small and represent two to three vehicles attempting to either left turn (merge) onto Menangle Road from Woodbridge Road, or perform a right turn from Menangle Road onto Woodbridge Road across traffic.

On-site observations of the queve lengths on Woodbridge Road in the AM period were larger than those reflected in the existing scenario SIDRA model shown in Table 7.6. It is believed that this was due to one or two vehicles showing hesitation to take gaps in the northbound vehicle flow which would be considered to be acceptable, thereby causing longer than normal queues to form. In some cases, the queues are not at rest, but more moving at slow speeds while each vehicle navigates the 90 degree turn onto Menangle Road.

### 7.6.2 Post Development (2021)

As discussed in Section 7.4 of this report, a $2 \%$ annual growth rate was applied for three and a half years for weekday volumes and three years for the existing light vehicle volumes, assuming that the proposed development is complete by the end of 2021. The intersection layout is unchanged from the layout modelled in the existing model. Table 7.8 and Table 7.9 summarise the SIDRA analyses for the 2021 model year.
Table 7.8: Menangle Road / Station Street SIDRA Analysis - Weekday Peak Hours (Post Development 2021)

| Approach Road | Turning Movement | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Level of Service (LoS) | Degree of Saturation (DoS) | 95\%ile Queve (m) | Level of Service (LoS) | Degree of Saturation (DoS) | 95\%ile Queve (m) |
| Menangle <br> Road (South) | Left | A | 0.207 | 2.9 | A | 0.146 | 5.0 |
|  | Through | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Station Street (East) | Left | B | 0.664 | 20.6 | B | 0.526 | 16.3 |
|  | Through | B |  |  | B |  |  |
|  | Right | C |  |  | C |  |  |
| Menangle Road (North) | Left | A | 0.185 | 7.2 | A | 0.375 | 16.5 |
|  | Through | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Woodbridge Road (West) | Left | A | 0.621 | 40.3 | A | 0.451 | 18.6 |
|  | Through | B |  |  | B |  |  |
|  | Right | B |  |  | B |  |  |

Table 7.9: Menangle Road / Station Street SIDRA Analysis - Saturday Peak Hour (Post Development 2021)

| Approach Road | Turning Moveme | Saturday Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Level of Service (LoS) | Degree of Saturation (DoS) | 95\%ile Queue (m) |
| Menangle Road (South) | Left | A | 0.181 | 6.6 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |
| Station Street (East) | Left | C | 0.927 | 82.0 |
|  | Through | D |  |  |
|  | Right | D |  |  |
| Menangle Road (North) | Left | A | 0.224 | 8.3 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |
| Woodbridge Road (West) | Left | A | 0.470 | 19.7 |
|  | Through | B |  |  |
|  | Right | B |  |  |

As can be seen from the tables above, the intersection is expected to operate at a good LoS ' C ' during the weekday network peak hours, and an LoS ' $D$ ' during the Saturday midday peak hour following completion of Stage 1 of the Mirvac residential subdivision development and the proposed Creamery Precinct development. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queve lengths can be expected to grow longer on each approach, in particular the Woodbridge Road approach during the weekday AM peak hour and the Station Street approach during the Saturday peak hour. A DoS level of over 0.900 indicates that the intersection in its current form would operate over capacity albeit only during the Saturday peak hour. Accordingly, it is considered that the intersection in its current form and layout would still accommodate
the proposed development in 2021, however additional capacity may be required to accommodate the Saturday peak hour projected volumes.

### 7.6.3 Menangle Road / Station Street Intersection Upgrade

As discussed in Section 7.6.2 of this report, the intersection of Menangle Road / Station Street is expected to operate at LoS ' $C$ ' during the weekday peak hours, and LoS ' $D$ ' in the weekend peak hour following completion of the proposed Creamery Precinct development.
It is understood that Mirvac have committed to the upgrading of the Menangle Road / Station Street intersection to a roundabout as part of a VPA with Council following development of Stage 1 of the residential subdivision, expected to be sometime in 2020 or 2021. A single lane roundabout is the most likely solution to accommodate the forecasted increase in traffic growth through Menangle, and is recommended to be implemented following the completion of Stage 1 of the Mirvac residential subdivision and the proposed Creamery Precinct development.
Additional modelling has been undertaken for a single lane roundabout at the Menangle Road / Station Street intersection, with the modelled layout shown in Figure 7-5. The SIDRA results for the 2021 post development scenario are shown in Table 7.10 and Table 7.11 , with full movement summaries included in Appendix D.


Figure 7-5: Modelled Menangle Road / Station Street Single Lane Roundabout

Table 7.10: Menangle Road / Station Street Roundabout SIDRA Analysis - Weekday Peak Hours (Post Development 2021)

| Approach Road | Turning Movement | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Level of Service (LoS) | Degree of Saturation (DoS) | 95\%ile Queve (m) | Level of Service (LoS) | Degree of Saturation (DoS) | 95\%ile Queue (m) |
| Menangle Road (South) | Left | A | 0.427 | 18.8 | A | 0.293 | 11.7 |
|  | Through | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Station Street (East) | Left | A | 0.175 | 6.7 | A | 0.188 | 8.2 |
|  | Through | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Menangle Road (North) | Left | A | 0.231 | 9.4 | A | 0.557 | 30.2 |
|  | Through | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Woodbridge Road (West) | Left | A | 0.621 | 41.3 | A | 0.272 | 10.9 |
|  | Through | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  | A |  |  |

Table 7.11: Menangle Road / Station Street Roundabout SIDRA Analysis - Saturday Peak Hour (Post Development 2021)

| Approach Road | Turning <br> Moveme | Saturday Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Level of Service (LoS) | Degree of Saturation (DoS) | 95\%ile Queve (m) |
| Menangle Road (South) | Left | A | 0.381 | 16.2 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |
| Station Street (East) | Left | A | 0.392 | 18.2 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |
| Menangle Road (North) | Left | A | 0.397 | 17.6 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |
| Woodbridge Road (West) | Left | A | 0.342 | 14.5 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |

As can be seen from the tables above, the upgrading of the intersection to a single lane roundabout has a positive impact on the LoS, DoS and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths for all approaches during each peak hour. Most noticeably the Station Street right turn movement improves from LoS ' $C$ ' and ' $D$ ' with the existing intersection layout to LoS ' $A$ ' with a roundabout.

Accordingly, it is considered that the implementation of a single lane roundabout at the intersection of Menangle Road / Station Street will improve traffic flow through the intersection during all peak hours.

### 7.6.4 Plus 10-year Horizon (2032)

### 7.6.4.1 Existing Intersection Layout

A future year horizon of 2032 has been selected in order to capture the future impacts of the background developments, particularly Stages $2-4$ of the planned Mirvac residential subdivision to the east of the rail line, which is expected to be complete in 2022.
Accordingly, background traffic growth rates of $2 \%$ have been applied for 14.5 and 14 years for the weekday and Saturday volumes, respectively. The intersection layout is unchanged from the layout modelled in the existing model. Table 7.12 and Table 7.13 summarise the SIDRA analyses for the 2032 model year.

Table 7.12: Menangle Road / Station Street SIDRA Analysis - Weekday Peak Hours (Plus 10-year Horizon 2032)

| Approach Road | Turning Movemen | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Level of Service (LoS) | Degree of Saturation (DoS) | 95\%ile <br> Queve <br> (m) | Level of Service (LoS) | Degree of Saturation (DoS) | 95\%ile Queve (m) |
| Menangle <br> Road (South) | Left | A | 0.257 | 4.4 | A | 0.218 | 8.5 |
|  | Through | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Station Street (East) | Left | F | > 1.000 | > 500 | F | > 1.000 | 379.6 |
|  | Through | F |  |  | F |  |  |
|  | Right | F |  |  | F |  |  |
| Menangle Road (North) | Left | A | 0.250 | 10.5 | A | 0.514 | 29.6 |
|  | Through | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Woodbridge Road (West) | Left | B | 0.864 | 104.1 | C | 0.869 | 82.2 |
|  | Through | C |  |  | E |  |  |
|  | Right | D |  |  | E |  |  |

Table 7.13: Menangle Road / Station Street SIDRA Analysis - Saturday Peak Hour (Plus 10-year Horizon 2032)

| Approach Road | Turning Movemen | Saturday Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Level of Service (LoS) | Degree of Saturation (DoS) | 95\%ile Queve (m) |
| Menangle Road (South) | Left | A | 0.213 | 7.9 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |
| Station Street (East) | Left | F | > 1.000 | 493.6 |
|  | Through | F |  |  |
|  | Right | F |  |  |
| Menangle Road (North) | Left | A | 0.277 | 10.9 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |
| Woodbridge Road (West) | Left | A | 0.635 | 33.3 |
|  | Through | B |  |  |
|  | Right | B |  |  |

As can be seen from the tables above, the Menangle Road / Station Street intersection is projected to be operating at LoS ' F ' in the plus 10 -year 2032 scenario during each peak hour. The approach to the intersection that would be affected the most would be Station Street, with $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths exceeding several hundred metres if the intersection remains in its current form. The DoS indicates that the intersection would be operating well above its current capacity.

### 7.6.4.2 Proposed Single Lane Roundabout

The single lane roundabout discussed in Section 7.6 .3 of this report has been modelled using the same 2032 projected volumes, to analyse the plus 10-year horizon modelling scenario with the roundabout.

Table 7.14 and Table 7.15 summarise the SIDRA analyses for the 2032 model year.
Table 7.14: Menangle Road / Station Street Roundabout SIDRA Analysis - Weekday Peak Hours (Plus 10year Horizon 2032)

| Approach <br> Road | Movement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 7.15: Menangle Road / Station Street Roundabout SIDRA Analysis - Saturday Peak Hour (Plus 10-year Horizon 2032)

| Approach Road | Turning Movemen | Saturday Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Level of Service (LoS) | Degree of Saturation (DoS) | 95\%ile Queue (m) |
| Menangle Road (South) | Left | A | 0.470 | 23.1 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |
| Station Street (East) | Left | A | 0.483 | 25.6 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |
| Menangle Road (North) | Left | A | 0.496 | 24.4 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |
| Woodbridge Road (West) | Left | A | 0.423 | 19.7 |
|  | Through | A |  |  |
|  | Right | A |  |  |

As can be seen from the tables above, the Menangle Road / Station Street can be expected to remain operating at acceptable levels of service in the 2032 future year as a single lane roundabout. The roundabout would operate at an excellent LoS ' $A$ ' during the weekday PM and Saturday peak hours, and a good LoS 'C' during the weekday AM peak hour. No additional traffic is projected to be added to the Woodbridge Road left turn movement as a result of the proposed Creamery Precinct development, nor as a result of the Mirvac residential subdivision development. As such, the future projection of LoS ' C ' can be attributed to the forecasted $2 \%$ annual growth in vehicles wishing to turn left from Woodbridge Road onto Menangle Road.

It is understood that there are additional road network improvements in the wider Menangle area that may eventuate in the next 10 or so years, which would provide the developing area with alternative routes of travel to the north and south rather than Menangle Road. These improvements would likely alleviate some of the demand for traffic along Menangle Road and Woodbridge Road, and in particular the left turn movement from Woodbridge Road to Menangle Road at the Menangle Road / Station Street intersection.

Accordingly, it is considered that the upgrading of the Menangle Road / Station Street intersection to a single lane roundabout would be a satisfactory solution to accommodate the increasing traffic demands through the intersection.

### 7.7 Intersection Upgrade - Traffic Signals

RMS' Traffic Signal Design Section 2 - Warrants lists five warrants that would typically allow consideration for an intersection to be upgraded to a signalised intersection. The traffic volume related warrants are listed below:

## (a) Traffic Demand:

For each of four one-hour periods of an average day:
(i) The major road flow exceeds 600vph in each direction; and
(ii) The minor road flow exceeds 200 vph in one direction.

## (b) Continuous Traffic:

For each of four one-hour periods of an average day:
(i) The major road flow exceeds 900 vph in each direction; and
(ii) The minor road flow exceeds 100vph in one direction; and
(iii) The speed of traffic on the major road or limited sight distance from the minor road causes undue delay or hazard to the minor road vehicles; and
(iv) There is no other nearby signal site easily accessible to the minor road vehicles.

As shown in Figure 7-3, the volumes on the major road (Menangle Road) are forecast to be a maximum of approximately 870 vph in the southbound direction during the weekday PM peak hour. However, the northbound volumes in the same peak hour are projected to be approximately 300 vph . The total major road flow does not exceed 600 vph during any other peak hour, thereby not meeting any of the above traffic volume warrants for a signalised intersection.
The other three warrants are related to pedestrian safety and crash history, effectively requiring over 150 pedestrians per hour for four one hour periods of an average day, or requiring an average of three or more reported tow-away or casualty traffic accidents per year over a three year period in addition to the traffic flows being at least $80 \%$ of the flows listed above.

It is therefore concluded that the Menangle Road / Station Street intersection with its projected 2032 volumes is not expected to satisfy any of these warrant conditions, and accordingly it is not anticipated that the intersection would be required to be upgraded to a signalised intersection as a result of the proposed Creamery Precinct and Mirvac developments.

## 8. Parking Assessment

### 8.1 Parking Requirement

Control 2.10.10 a) of Council's Development Control Plan (DCP) sets out parking at the rate specified in
Table 8.1. A number of land uses are not defined in the DCP specifically, and these are discussed in further detail below, with the land uses assigned a parking rate based on the operations of the development.

Table 8.1: Wollondilly Shire Council Car Parking Rates

| Proposed Land Use | Council Land Use Classification | Proposed Size | Car Parking Requirement | Number of Required Spaces |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Creamery Bistro | Food and Drink Premises | $150 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> 83 Patrons | The greatest of: <br> 12 spaces per 100m2 GFA; or <br> 1 space per 5 seats (internal and external); or <br> 1 space per 2 seats (internal) | 18 spaces |
| The Creamery Wine Bar |  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\ 16 \text { Patrons } \end{gathered}$ |  | 4 spaces |
| The Creamery Bar (internal) |  | $150 m^{2}$ <br> 83 Patrons |  | 18 spaces |
| The Creamery Bar (outdoor area) |  | $350 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> 195 Patrons |  | 42 spaces |
| The Creamery Restaurant |  | $70 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> 40 Patrons |  | 9 spaces |
| The Creamery Front Courtyard |  | $600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> 330 Patrons |  | 72 spaces |
| Rotolactor Function Centre | Function Centre | $600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 15 spaces per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA | 90 spaces |
| General Store | Neighbourhood Shops | $65 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 2.5 spaces per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA | 2 spaces |
| Cooking School | Undefined | 16 Patrons <br> 2 Employees | See below | See below (13 spaces) |
| Conference Room | Undefined | $50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | See below | See below <br> (35 spaces) |
| Hotel | Undefined | 14 rooms 6 employees | See below | See below (20 spaces) |
| Event Shed (Markets) | Markets | 40 stalls | 3.0 spaces per stall | 120 spaces |


| Proposed Land Use | Council Land Use Classification | Proposed Size | Car Parking Requirement | Number of Required Spaces |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bakery (drive through) |  |  | The greatest of: |  |
|  |  |  | 12 spaces per 100m2 GFA: or |  |
| Bakery Rear Café / Bar | Food and Drink Premises | 450m² <br> 113 Patrons | 1 space per 5 seats (internal and external); or | 54 spaces |
|  |  |  | 1 space per 2 seats (internal) |  |
| Total: |  |  |  | 497 spaces |

It is noted that parking rates for the cooking school, conference room and the hotel are undefined in Council's DCP. For the purposes of this assessment, first principles methods have been assumed for the proposed land uses for the parking assessment only:

- Cooking School - it was assumed for the trip generation analysis that the car occupancy rate for the cooking school would be 1.5 persons per vehicle, and one person per vehicle for staff. Based on the proposed capacity of 16 patrons and two employees, a total parking demand of 13 spaces is expected for the cooking school.
- Conference Room - given the rural nature of the site, it was assumed that the conference room would have a car occupancy rate of 1.2 persons per vehicle, and the conference room would have a maximum capacity of 40 patrons and one employee. Accordingly, it is estimated that the car parking demand for the conference room would be 35 spaces.
- Hotel - the proposed hotel has 14 rooms and 6 employees. It is assumed that each room would have a parking demand of one space, plus one space per employee. Accordingly, it is assumed that the hotel has a parking demand of 20 spaces.

As the drive through component of the bakery is a drive through service, it does not require any fixed car parking spaces.
Due to the nature of the proposed Creamery Precinct development, it is important to clarify that all of the parking requirement / demand is not expected to happen simultaneously, and therefore the true maximum required parking number is different from that shown in Table 8.1.
Figure 8-1 and Figure 8-2 show the expected parking demand throughout a typical weekday and weekend day, respectively. The figures assume that all land uses operate at maximum capacity from the moment they open their doors to the closing time, which is considered a conservative estimate of the parking demand of the proposed development.

By way of summary, a maximum parking demand of 364 vehicles is expected during a typical weekday, and a maximum parking demand of 449 vehicles is expected during the weekend. As discussed above, the assessment assumes that each land use experiences their maximum parking demand during all hours of operation, which for the weekend days culminates in the maximum parking demand occurring at 12:00pm, when the market event is ending and the function centre and several of The Creamery dining developments open. A number of visitors are likely to utilise multiple land uses on the site, which is not taken into account in this assessment, and accordingly it is considered that the maximum parking demands shown in the figures below represents a worst-case scenario for the proposed development.

The proposed car parking provision is discussed further in the following section.



### 8.2 Proposed Parking Supply

It is proposed to provide car parking and motorcycle parking on the site across four different areas, outlined in Table 8.2.
Table 8.2: Proposed Parking Provision

| Car Park | Operating Hours | Parking Area | Number of Spaces |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southern Paddock Car Park | 7:00am to 12:00am | $5,250 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 150 spaces |
| Northern Paddock Car Park | 7:00am to 3:00am | $4,550 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 130 spaces |
| Hotel Car Park | 24 hours a day | $700 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 20 spaces |
| Employee Car Park | 7:00am to 3:00am | - | 185 spaces |
| Motorcycle and Scooter Parking | Secure Access | $310 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 55 spaces (motorcycles <br> and scooters) |
| Total: |  |  | $\mathbf{4 8 5}$ car spaces |

Accordingly, it is considered that the proposed car parking provision will be satisfactory to accommodate the expected car parking demand of the proposed Creamery Precinct development.

## 9. Conclusion

Stantec has been asked by The Creamery Property Trust to undertake an assessment of the proposed Creamery Precinct neighbourhood centre development in Menangle, NSW.
Based on the above assessment, the following is concluded:

- Turning movement count results from Thursday 3 May 2018 and Saturday 23 February 2019 revealed that the intersection of Menangle Road / Station Street experiences a relatively low level of traffic during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, and on Saturdays. SIDRA analysis of this intersection suggests that the intersection currently operates at a very good level of service 'B' during the weekday $A M$ and $P M$ peak hours, and level of service ' $A$ ' during the Saturday midday peak hour.
- Access to the site is proposed to be via a new east-west road linking Menangle Road with Stevens Road, which will run along the northern side of Stage 1 of the adjacent Mirvac residential subdivision.
- The proposed neighbourhood centre is expected to generate in the order of $281 \mathrm{vph}, 422 \mathrm{vph}$ and 940 vph during the weekday AM, PM and weekend midday peak hours, respectively. With the addition of this traffic to the existing intersection operations, and allowing for a conservative annual growth rate of $2 \%$ along Menangle Road, Station Street and Woodbridge Road, it was found that the could be expected to operate at a level of service 'C' during the weekday peak hours, and LoS 'D' during the Saturday peak hour following completion of both the proposed Creamery Precinct as well as the planned Stage 1 ( 97 residential lot) Mirvac residential subdivision adjacent to the site.
- The consideration of additional traffic from the latter stages of the adjacent subdivision is expected to warrant some upgrading of the Menangle Road / Station Street intersection, confirmed by the 2032 'plus 10-year' horizon model, which factors in a further 10 years of traffic growth following expected completion of the residential subdivision in 2022.
- Preliminary traffic modelling indicates that there are options available to upgrade the intersection to accommodate the future levels of traffic, and that Mirvac have committed to upgrading the intersection to a single lane roundabout (via a Voluntary Planning Agreement with Council). This would occur prior to any development of the latter stages of the residential subdivision to the east of the railway line.
- The intersection has been modelled for both the 2021 and 2032 scenarios with a single lane roundabout configuration. It was found that the upgraded intersection could accommodate the forecasted levels of traffic with the worst case level of service being ' $C$ ' in the weekday AM peak in 2032. This does not take into account the possibility of road network improvements within the wider Menangle area, which may reduce the existing left turn demand for vehicles travelling from Woodbridge Road to Menangle Road.
- The expected parking requirement and demand throughout a typical weekday and weekend day is expected to be able to be accommodated by the proposed 485 car parking spaces on-site, provided across multiple car parking areas and in addition to a 55 space motorcycle and scooter car park.

It is therefore concluded that the proposed Creamery Precinct development would not be expected to have any adverse impacts on the surrounding road network (both existing and future), and that there are no traffic engineering reasons that would preclude the proposed development from proceeding.

## Appendices

## Appendix A Development Schedule

The Creamery at Menangle

## Population Schedule

|  | Operation Times | Area | Formula | Theoretical Maximum Capacity | Employees Required | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Creamery Bistro | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11am-10pm Mon-Fri } \\ & 9 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm} \text { Sat, Sun } \end{aligned}$ | 150m ${ }^{2}$ | $1.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ / Patron | 83 Patrons (every 2 hrs) | 10 Employees |  |
| The Creamery Wine Bar | 4pm-2am Mon-Fri <br> 12pm-2am Sat, Sun | 30 m 2 |  | 16 Patrons (every 2 hrs) | 2 Employees |  |
| The Creamery Bar (internal) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10am-2am Mon-Fri } \\ & 9 a m-2 a m \quad \text { Sat, Sun } \end{aligned}$ | $150 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $1.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ / Patron | 83 Patrons (every 2-4 hrs) | 4 Employees |  |
| The Creamery Bar (outdoor area) | $\begin{array}{ll} 12 p m-2 a m & \text { Mon - Fri } \\ 10 a m-2 a m & \text { Sat, Sun } \end{array}$ | $350 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1.8m ${ }^{2}$ / Patron | 195 Patrons (every 2-4 hrs) | 3 Employees |  |
| The Creamery Restaurant (up-stairs) | 12pm-10pm Mon-Sun | $70 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1.8m ${ }^{2}$ / Patron | 40 Patrons (every 2 hrs) | 6 Employees |  |
| The Creamery Front | 7am-10pm Mon-Sun | $600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1.8m ${ }^{2}$ / Patron | 330 Patrons (every 2-4 hrs) | 4 Employees |  |
|  |  |  |  | 747 Patrons | 29 Employees |  |
| Rotolactor Function Centre (internal) + Marquee | 12pm-3am Mon-Sun | $600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $1.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ / Patron | 400 Patrons | 20 Employees | Conservatively assumed fully booked 7 nights/week |
| General Store | 7am-5pm Mon-Sun | $65 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |  | ----- | 2 Employees |  |
| Cooking School | 7pm - 10pm Fri, Sat, Sun | $65 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |  | 16 Patrons | 2 Employees |  |
| Conference Room | 9am-4pm Mon-Fri | $50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $1.25 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ / Patron | 40 Patrons | 1 Employee | Conservatively assumed fully booked 5 days/week |
| Hotel | 24/7-Mon - Sun | $500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 4 Star 30-34m² / <br> Room <br> $1.86 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ / Room for services | 14 Rooms $\times 1.7$ people $=24$ Guests / day | 6 Employees | Conservatively assumed fully booked out 7 days/week |
| Hotel (parking) | 24/7-Mon - Sun | $700 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $35 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{Car}$ | 20 Cars | ----- |  |
| Event Shed (market event) | 8am-12pm Sat, Sun | $880 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ Market <br> space <br> Remainder for circulation and seating | $22 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ / Stall | 250 Patrons (per hour) | 40 Stalls $\times 2$ Operators $=80$ <br> Operators | Conservatively assumed operating both Sat and Sun markets |
|  |  |  |  | 480 Patrons <br> (+ 250 Patrons Sat/Sun 8am - <br> 12 noon) | 31 Employees <br> (+ 80 Operators Sat/Sun <br> 8.00am-12.00 noon) |  |

The Creamery at Menangle

## Population Schedule



Theoretical maximum capacity on site at any one time (assuming all venues operating except the Farmers Market)
Employees = 75
Patrons (including children) $=1340$
Total Persons = $\mathbf{1 4 1 5}$ Persons on site at any one time
Theoretical maximum capacity on site at any one time (assuming all venues operating / Farmers Market operating (Sat/Sun 8am - 12 noon)
Employees (75) and Stall Operators (80) = 155 Persons
Patrons (including children and farmers market patrons $=1590$ Persons
Total Persons = 1745 persons on site at any one time

## Appendix B Development Plans




## Appendix C Traffic Survey Data

TRANS TRAFFIC SURVEY M TURICunveycomau
Intersection of Mengangle Rd and Station St, Menangle


| North: | Mengangle Rd |
| :--- | :--- |
| East: | Station St |
| South: | Mengangle Rd |
| West: | Woolbridge Rd |


| Survey Start | AM: | $7: 00$ | PM: | 16:00 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vehicular Peakhour | Pedestrians Peakhour |  |  |  |
| AM: | $7: 30$ AM-8:30 AM | AM: | N/A |  |
| PM: | $4: 30$ PM-5:30 PM | PM: | N/A |  |


| Time |  | North Approach Mengangle Rd |  |  |  | East Approach Station St |  |  |  | South Approach Mengangle Rd |  |  |  | West Approach Woolbridge Rd |  |  |  | Hourly Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period Start | Period End | U | R | SB | L | U | R | WB | L | U | R | NB | L | U | R | EB | L | Hour | Peak |
| 7:00 | 7:15 | 0 | 23 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 75 | 809 |  |
| 7:15 | 7:30 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 51 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 904 |  |
| 7:30 | 7:45 | 0 | 24 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 1015 | Peak |
| 7:45 | 8:00 | 0 | 25 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 81 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 117 | 1014 |  |
| 8:00 | 8:15 | 0 | 26 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 86 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 893 |  |
| 8:15 | 8:30 | 0 | 46 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 775 |  |
| 8:30 | 8:45 | 0 | 45 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 59 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 70 | 646 |  |
| 8:45 | 9:00 | 0 | 30 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 45 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 35 | 552 |  |
| 9:00 | 9:15 | 0 | 24 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 41 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 40 | 514 |  |
| 9:15 | 9:30 | 0 | 20 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 46 |  |  |
| 9:30 | 9:45 | 0 | 20 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 23 |  |  |
| 9:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 16 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 28 |  |  |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 69 | 46 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 35 | 848 |  |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 75 | 56 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 871 |  |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 68 | 57 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 42 | 891 | Peak |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 0 | 70 | 52 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 48 | 878 |  |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 0 | 70 | 75 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 884 |  |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 0 | 77 | 75 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 38 | 825 |  |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 0 | 55 | 72 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 45 | 748 |  |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 0 | 72 | 55 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 35 | 659 |  |
| 18:00 | 18:15 | 0 | 64 | 40 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 565 |  |
| 18:15 | 18:30 | 0 | 70 | 41 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |  |
| 18:30 | 18:45 | 0 | 46 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 23 |  |  |
| 18:45 | 19:00 | 0 | 37 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 19 |  |  |


| Peak Time |  | North Approach Mengangle Rd |  |  |  | East Approach Station St |  |  |  | South Approach Mengangle Rd |  |  |  | West Approach Woolbridge Rd |  |  |  | Peak total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period Start | Period End | U | R | SB | L | U | R | WB | L | U | R | NB | L | U | R | EB | L |  |
| 7:30 | 8:30 | 0 | 121 | 88 | 9 | 0 | 23 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 301 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 429 | 1015 |
| 16:30 | 17:30 | 0 | 285 | 259 | 22 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 157 | 891 |

TRANS TRAFFIC SURVEY \# = mea
TURNING MOVEMENT SURVEY
Intersection of Station Rd and Menangle Rd, Menangle


| North: | Menangle Rd |
| :--- | :--- |
| East: | Station Rd |
| South: | Menangle Rd |
| West: | Station Rd |


| Survey | AM: | 9:00 AM-12:00 PM |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | PM: | 12:00 PM-6:00 PM |
| Traffic | AM: | $11: 45$ AM-12:45 PM |
| Peak | PM: | $4: 00$ PM-5:00 PM |

All Vehicles

| Time |  | North Approach Menangle Rd |  |  |  | East Approach Station Rd |  |  |  | South Approach Menangle Rd |  |  |  | West Approach Station Rd |  |  |  | Hourly Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period Start | Period End | U | R | SB | L | U | R | WB | L | U | R | NB | L | U | R | EB | L | Hour | Peak |
| 9:00 | 9:15 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 32 | 518 |  |
| 9:15 | 9:30 | 0 | 20 | 32 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 26 | 512 |  |
| 9:30 | 9:45 | 0 | 29 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 26 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 29 | 524 |  |
| 9:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 16 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 30 | 518 |  |
| 10:00 | 10:15 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 40 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 25 | 515 |  |
| 10:15 | 10:30 | 0 | 22 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 38 | 529 |  |
| 10:30 | 10:45 | 0 | 18 | 22 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 29 | 504 |  |
| 10:45 | 11:00 | 0 | 22 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 507 |  |
| 11:00 | 11:15 | 0 | 35 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 20 | 522 |  |
| 11:15 | 11:30 | 0 | 23 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 527 |  |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 28 | 34 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 533 |  |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 0 | 32 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 26 | 549 | Peak |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 24 | 34 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 26 | 538 |  |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 0 | 21 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 27 | 535 |  |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 0 | 38 | 29 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 524 |  |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 0 | 21 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 23 | 507 |  |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 0 | 30 | 29 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 22 | 486 |  |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 0 | 21 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 24 | 488 |  |
| 13:30 | 13:45 | 0 | 28 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 24 | 501 |  |
| 13:45 | 14:00 | 0 | 26 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 21 | 491 |  |
| 14:00 | 14:15 | 0 | 31 | 43 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 18 | 510 |  |
| 14:15 | 14:30 | 0 | 19 | 31 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 22 | 497 |  |
| 14:30 | 14:45 | 0 | 17 | 37 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 17 | 492 |  |
| 14:45 | 15:00 | 0 | 38 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 20 | 479 |  |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 0 | 32 | 22 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 27 | 472 |  |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 0 | 28 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 513 |  |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 0 | 20 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 533 |  |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 0 | 24 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 20 | 565 |  |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 28 | 45 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 584 | Peak |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 17 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 40 | 570 |  |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 30 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 574 |  |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 0 | 25 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 35 | 562 |  |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 0 | 29 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 49 | 531 |  |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 0 | 27 | 22 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 33 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 45 |  |  |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 0 | 30 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 36 |  |  |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 0 | 18 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 29 |  |  |


| Peak Time |  | North Approach Menangle Rd |  |  |  | East Approach Station Rd |  |  |  | South Approach Menangle Rd |  |  |  | West Approach Station Rd |  |  |  | Peak total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period Start | Period End | U | R | SB | L | U | R | WB | L | U | R | NB | L | U | R | EB | L |  |
| 11:45 | 12:45 | 0 | 115 | 127 | 18 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 129 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 8 | 109 | 549 |
| 16:00 | 17:00 | 0 | 100 | 141 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 126 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 140 | 584 |

## Appendix D SIDRA Analysis

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101v [Menangle Road - Station Street - Existing - AM Peak]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Existing AM Peak - Priority Controlled
7:30am - 8:30am
Stop (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Deman Total veh/h | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 13 | 0.0 | 0.160 | 4.7 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 49.3 |
| 2 | T1 | 317 | 2.3 | 0.160 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 49.8 |
| 3 | R2 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.160 | 4.9 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 49.3 |
| Appr |  | 334 | 2.2 | 0.160 | 0.2 | NA | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 49.8 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.117 | 7.8 | LOS A | 0.4 | 2.6 | 0.52 | 0.95 | 41.5 |
| 5 | T1 | 13 | 0.0 | 0.117 | 10.9 | LOS A | 0.4 | 2.6 | 0.52 | 0.95 | 41.5 |
| 6 | R2 | 24 | 0.0 | 0.117 | 19.4 | LOS B | 0.4 | 2.6 | 0.52 | 0.95 | 41.5 |
| Appr |  | 43 | 0.0 | 0.117 | 15.2 | LOS B | 0.4 | 2.6 | 0.52 | 0.95 | 41.5 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 9 | 11.1 | 0.148 | 5.9 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.6 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 46.5 |
| 8 | T1 | 93 | 8.0 | 0.148 | 1.1 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.6 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 47.1 |
| 9 | R2 | 127 | 0.8 | 0.148 | 5.9 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.6 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 46.6 |
| Appr |  | 229 | 4.1 | 0.148 | 3.9 | NA | 0.8 | 5.6 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 46.8 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 452 | 1.6 | 0.461 | 10.3 | LOS A | 3.2 | 22.6 | 0.54 | 0.99 | 44.0 |
| 11 | T1 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.461 | 13.5 | LOS A | 3.2 | 22.6 | 0.54 | 0.99 | 43.9 |
| 12 | R2 | 4 | 25.0 | 0.461 | 18.4 | LOS B | 3.2 | 22.6 | 0.54 | 0.99 | 43.7 |
| Appr |  | 462 | 1.8 | 0.461 | 10.4 | LOS A | 3.2 | 22.6 | 0.54 | 0.99 | 44.0 |
| All V | cles | 1068 | 2.4 | 0.461 | 6.1 | NA | 3.2 | 22.6 | 0.35 | 0.55 | 46.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

[^7]
## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101v [Menangle Road - Station Street - Existing - PM Peak]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Existing PM Peak - Priority Controlled
4:30pm - 5:30pm
Stop (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Dema Total veh/h | $\begin{array}{r} \text { =lows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \end{array}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | f Queue <br> Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 9 | 0.0 | 0.068 | 4.9 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 49.1 |
| 2 | T1 | 126 | 0.8 | 0.068 | 0.1 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 49.6 |
| 3 | R2 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.068 | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 49.1 |
| Appro |  | 140 | 0.8 | 0.068 | 0.5 | NA | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 49.5 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 3 | 0.0 | 0.063 | 8.6 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.62 | 0.97 | 42.1 |
| 5 | T1 | 14 | 0.0 | 0.063 | 12.9 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.62 | 0.97 | 42.0 |
| 6 | R2 | 8 | 12.5 | 0.063 | 19.3 | LOS B | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.62 | 0.97 | 41.9 |
| Appro |  | 25 | 4.2 | 0.063 | 14.5 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.62 | 0.97 | 42.0 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 23 | 0.0 | 0.332 | 5.1 | LOS A | 2.0 | 14.0 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 47.2 |
| 8 | T1 | 273 | 1.9 | 0.332 | 0.5 | LOS A | 2.0 | 14.0 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 47.6 |
| 9 | R2 | 300 | 0.0 | 0.332 | 5.2 | LOS A | 2.0 | 14.0 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 47.1 |
| Appro |  | 596 | 0.9 | 0.332 | 3.0 | NA | 2.0 | 14.0 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 47.3 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 165 | 0.6 | 0.157 | 8.0 | LOS A | 0.7 | 4.6 | 0.25 | 0.89 | 44.7 |
| 11 | T1 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.157 | 14.0 | LOS A | 0.7 | 4.6 | 0.25 | 0.89 | 44.6 |
| 12 | R2 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.157 | 15.6 | LOS B | 0.7 | 4.6 | 0.25 | 0.89 | 44.7 |
| Approach |  | 177 | 0.6 | 0.157 | 8.4 | LOS A | 0.7 | 4.6 | 0.25 | 0.89 | 44.7 |
| All Vehicles |  | 938 | 0.9 | 0.332 | 4.0 | NA | 2.0 | 14.0 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 47.0 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101v [Menangle Road - Station Street - Existing - Sat Peak]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Existing Sat Peak - Priority Controlled
11:45am - 12:45pm
Stop (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Deman Total veh/h | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lows } \\ & \text { HV } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 7 | 0.0 | 0.069 | 4.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 49.3 |
| 2 | T1 | 136 | 0.8 | 0.069 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 49.8 |
| 3 | R2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.069 | 5.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 49.3 |
| Appr |  | 144 | 0.7 | 0.069 | 0.3 | NA | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 49.8 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.037 | 7.9 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.45 | 0.91 | 43.8 |
| 5 | T1 | 7 | 0.0 | 0.037 | 9.5 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.45 | 0.91 | 43.8 |
| 6 | R2 | 15 | 0.0 | 0.037 | 11.2 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.45 | 0.91 | 43.8 |
| Appr |  | 23 | 0.0 | 0.037 | 10.5 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.45 | 0.91 | 43.8 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 19 | 0.0 | 0.151 | 5.0 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.2 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 47.4 |
| 8 | T1 | 134 | 2.4 | 0.151 | 0.3 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.2 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 47.8 |
| 9 | R2 | 121 | 0.0 | 0.151 | 5.1 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.2 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 47.3 |
| Appr |  | 274 | 1.2 | 0.151 | 2.8 | NA | 0.7 | 5.2 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 47.6 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 115 | 0.9 | 0.124 | 8.0 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.5 | 0.27 | 0.89 | 44.8 |
| 11 | T1 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.124 | 9.9 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.5 | 0.27 | 0.89 | 44.7 |
| 12 | R2 | 14 | 0.0 | 0.124 | 10.5 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.5 | 0.27 | 0.89 | 44.8 |
| Appr |  | 137 | 0.8 | 0.124 | 8.4 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.5 | 0.27 | 0.89 | 44.8 |
| All V | cles | 578 | 0.9 | 0.151 | 3.8 | NA | 0.7 | 5.2 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 47.2 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101v [Menangle Road - Station Street - Future 2021-AM Peak]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Future 2021 AM Peak
7:30am-8:30am
Stop (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Deman Total veh/h | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flows } \\ & \text { HV } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | f Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 14 | 0.0 | 0.207 | 5.0 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.4 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 48.7 |
| 2 | T1 | 340 | 2.5 | 0.207 | 0.1 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.4 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 49.2 |
| 3 | R2 | 58 | 0.0 | 0.207 | 5.1 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.4 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 48.7 |
| Appr |  | 412 | 2.0 | 0.207 | 1.0 | NA | 0.5 | 3.4 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 49.1 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 33 | 0.0 | 0.664 | 18.0 | LOS B | 3.5 | 24.2 | 0.72 | 1.16 | 34.9 |
| 5 | T1 | 29 | 0.0 | 0.664 | 23.3 | LOS B | 3.5 | 24.2 | 0.72 | 1.16 | 34.8 |
| 6 | R2 | 105 | 0.0 | 0.664 | 39.5 | LOS C | 3.5 | 24.2 | 0.72 | 1.16 | 34.8 |
| Appr |  | 167 | 0.0 | 0.664 | 32.5 | LOS C | 3.5 | 24.2 | 0.72 | 1.16 | 34.8 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 11 | 10.0 | 0.185 | 6.1 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.1 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 46.5 |
| 8 | T1 | 121 | 7.8 | 0.185 | 1.2 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.1 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 47.1 |
| 9 | R2 | 152 | 0.7 | 0.185 | 6.0 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.1 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 46.6 |
| Appr |  | 283 | 4.1 | 0.185 | 4.0 | NA | 1.0 | 7.1 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 46.8 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 484 | 1.7 | 0.621 | 12.2 | LOS A | 5.8 | 41.1 | 0.64 | 1.14 | 42.8 |
| 11 | T1 | 62 | 0.0 | 0.621 | 18.1 | LOS B | 5.8 | 41.1 | 0.64 | 1.14 | 42.7 |
| 12 | R2 | 4 | 25.0 | 0.621 | 26.8 | LOS B | 5.8 | 41.1 | 0.64 | 1.14 | 42.5 |
| Appr |  | 551 | 1.7 | 0.621 | 13.0 | LOS A | 5.8 | 41.1 | 0.64 | 1.14 | 42.8 |
| All V | cles | 1413 | 2.1 | 0.664 | 10.0 | NA | 5.8 | 41.1 | 0.45 | 0.68 | 44.0 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101v [Menangle Road - Station Street - Future 2021 - PM Peak]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Future 2021 PM Peak
4:30pm - $5: 30 \mathrm{pm}$
Stop (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Demand Total veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { =lows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | f Queue <br> Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 11 | 0.0 | 0.146 | 5.8 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.0 | 0.36 | 0.26 | 47.3 |
| 2 | T1 | 136 | 0.8 | 0.146 | 0.9 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.0 | 0.36 | 0.26 | 47.7 |
| 3 | R2 | 98 | 0.0 | 0.146 | 5.9 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.0 | 0.36 | 0.26 | 47.2 |
| Appr |  | 244 | 0.4 | 0.146 | 3.1 | NA | 0.7 | 5.0 | 0.36 | 0.26 | 47.5 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.526 | 15.1 | LOS B | 2.2 | 16.3 | 0.83 | 1.12 | 35.8 |
| 5 | T1 | 28 | 0.0 | 0.526 | 23.1 | LOS B | 2.2 | 16.3 | 0.83 | 1.12 | 35.7 |
| 6 | R2 | 68 | 12.3 | 0.526 | 37.7 | LOS C | 2.2 | 16.3 | 0.83 | 1.12 | 35.6 |
| Appr |  | 117 | 7.2 | 0.526 | 30.3 | LOS C | 2.2 | 16.3 | 0.83 | 1.12 | 35.7 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 25 | 0.0 | 0.375 | 5.2 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.5 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 47.1 |
| 8 | T1 | 309 | 2.0 | 0.375 | 0.5 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.5 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 47.6 |
| 9 | R2 | 335 | 0.0 | 0.375 | 5.3 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.5 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 47.1 |
| Appr |  | 669 | 0.9 | 0.375 | 3.1 | NA | 2.3 | 16.5 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 47.3 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 177 | 0.6 | 0.451 | 9.8 | LOS A | 2.6 | 18.6 | 0.39 | 0.97 | 42.1 |
| 11 | T1 | 101 | 0.0 | 0.451 | 20.4 | LOS B | 2.6 | 18.6 | 0.39 | 0.97 | 42.0 |
| 12 | R2 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.451 | 23.8 | LOS B | 2.6 | 18.6 | 0.39 | 0.97 | 42.1 |
| Approach |  | 284 | 0.4 | 0.451 | 13.9 | LOS A | 2.6 | 18.6 | 0.39 | 0.97 | 42.0 |
| All Vehicles |  | 1315 | 1.3 | 0.526 | 7.8 | NA | 2.6 | 18.6 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 44.8 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101v [Menangle Road - Station Street - Future 2021 - Sat Peak]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Future 2021 Sat Peak
11:45am - 12:45pm
Stop (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Deman Total veh/h | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lows } \\ & \text { HV } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | f Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road 7 der |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 7 | 0.0 | 0.181 | 5.4 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.6 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 47.1 |
| 2 | T1 | 144 | 0.7 | 0.181 | 0.7 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.6 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 47.5 |
| 3 | R2 | 159 | 0.0 | 0.181 | 5.4 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.6 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 47.1 |
| Appr |  | 311 | 0.3 | 0.181 | 3.2 | NA | 0.9 | 6.6 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 47.3 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 52 | 0.0 | 0.927 | 36.5 | LOS C | 11.7 | 82.0 | 0.85 | 1.88 | 30.6 |
| 5 | T1 | 62 | 0.0 | 0.927 | 42.7 | LOS D | 11.7 | 82.0 | 0.85 | 1.88 | 30.5 |
| 6 | R2 | 216 | 0.0 | 0.927 | 51.5 | LOS D | 11.7 | 82.0 | 0.85 | 1.88 | 30.5 |
| Appr |  | 329 | 0.0 | 0.927 | 47.5 | LOS D | 11.7 | 82.0 | 0.85 | 1.88 | 30.5 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 22 | 0.0 | 0.224 | 5.1 | LOS A | 1.2 | 8.3 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 47.3 |
| 8 | T1 | 197 | 2.7 | 0.224 | 0.4 | LOS A | 1.2 | 8.3 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 47.7 |
| 9 | R2 | 185 | 0.0 | 0.224 | 5.1 | LOS A | 1.2 | 8.3 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 47.2 |
| Appr |  | 404 | 1.3 | 0.224 | 2.8 | NA | 1.2 | 8.3 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 47.5 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 122 | 0.9 | 0.470 | 9.7 | LOS A | 2.8 | 19.7 | 0.46 | 1.01 | 42.3 |
| 11 | T1 | 168 | 0.0 | 0.470 | 15.8 | LOS B | 2.8 | 19.7 | 0.46 | 1.01 | 42.2 |
| 12 | R2 | 15 | 0.0 | 0.470 | 19.4 | LOS B | 2.8 | 19.7 | 0.46 | 1.01 | 42.3 |
| Appr |  | 305 | 0.3 | 0.470 | 13.6 | LOS A | 2.8 | 19.7 | 0.46 | 1.01 | 42.2 |
| All V | cles | 1349 | 0.5 | 0.927 | 16.2 | NA | 11.7 | 82.0 | 0.46 | 0.84 | 40.8 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101vv [Menangle Road - Station Street - Future 2021 - AM Peak - Roundabout]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Future 2021 AM Peak - Single Lane Roundabout
7:30am-8:30am
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Dema <br> Total veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fows } \\ \text { HV } \end{gathered}$ | Deg. <br> Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | f Queue <br> Distance | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 14 | 0.0 | 0.427 | 5.2 | LOS A | 2.6 | 18.8 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 45.7 |
| 2 | T1 | 340 | 2.5 | 0.427 | 5.2 | LOS A | 2.6 | 18.8 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 46.6 |
| 3 | R2 | 58 | 0.0 | 0.427 | 9.2 | LOS A | 2.6 | 18.8 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 46.6 |
| Appr |  | 412 | 2.0 | 0.427 | 5.8 | LOS A | 2.6 | 18.8 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 46.6 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 33 | 0.0 | 0.175 | 4.9 | LOS A | 1.0 | 6.7 | 0.48 | 0.64 | 45.2 |
| 5 | T1 | 29 | 0.0 | 0.175 | 4.8 | LOS A | 1.0 | 6.7 | 0.48 | 0.64 | 46.0 |
| 6 | R2 | 105 | 0.0 | 0.175 | 8.9 | LOS A | 1.0 | 6.7 | 0.48 | 0.64 | 46.1 |
| Appr |  | 167 | 0.0 | 0.175 | 7.4 | LOS A | 1.0 | 6.7 | 0.48 | 0.64 | 45.9 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 11 | 10.0 | 0.231 | 3.8 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.4 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 45.6 |
| 8 | T1 | 121 | 7.8 | 0.231 | 3.8 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.4 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 46.5 |
| 9 | R2 | 152 | 0.7 | 0.231 | 7.7 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.4 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 46.6 |
| Approach |  | 283 | 4.1 | 0.231 | 5.9 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.4 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 46.5 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 484 | 1.7 | 0.621 | 8.7 | LOS A | 5.8 | 41.3 | 0.81 | 0.91 | 44.4 |
| 11 | T1 | 62 | 0.0 | 0.621 | 8.6 | LOS A | 5.8 | 41.3 | 0.81 | 0.91 | 45.3 |
| 12 | R2 | 4 | 25.0 | 0.621 | 13.6 | LOS A | 5.8 | 41.3 | 0.81 | 0.91 | 45.0 |
| Appr |  | 551 | 1.7 | 0.621 | 8.7 | LOS A | 5.8 | 41.3 | 0.81 | 0.91 | 44.5 |
| All V | cles | 1413 | 2.1 | 0.621 | 7.1 | LOS A | 5.8 | 41.3 | 0.60 | 0.72 | 45.7 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101vv [Menangle Road - Station Street - Future 2021 - PM Peak - Roundabout]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Future 2021 PM Peak - Single Lane Roundabout
4:30pm - 5:30pm
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Dema Total veh/h |  | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | f Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 11 | 0.0 | 0.293 | 5.9 | LOS A | 1.7 | 11.7 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 45.0 |
| 2 | T1 | 136 | 0.8 | 0.293 | 5.9 | LOS A | 1.7 | 11.7 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 45.9 |
| 3 | R2 | 98 | 0.0 | 0.293 | 9.9 | LOS A | 1.7 | 11.7 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 45.9 |
| Appr |  | 244 | 0.4 | 0.293 | 7.5 | LOS A | 1.7 | 11.7 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 45.9 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.188 | 7.6 | LOS A | 1.1 | 8.2 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 43.8 |
| 5 | T1 | 28 | 0.0 | 0.188 | 7.6 | LOS A | 1.1 | 8.2 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 44.6 |
| 6 | R2 | 68 | 12.3 | 0.188 | 12.2 | LOS A | 1.1 | 8.2 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 44.5 |
| Appr |  | 117 | 7.2 | 0.188 | 10.3 | LOS A | 1.1 | 8.2 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 44.4 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 25 | 0.0 | 0.557 | 4.6 | LOS A | 4.3 | 30.2 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 45.2 |
| 8 | T1 | 309 | 2.0 | 0.557 | 4.6 | LOS A | 4.3 | 30.2 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 46.1 |
| 9 | R2 | 335 | 0.0 | 0.557 | 8.6 | LOS A | 4.3 | 30.2 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 46.1 |
| Appr |  | 669 | 0.9 | 0.557 | 6.6 | LOS A | 4.3 | 30.2 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 46.1 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 177 | 0.6 | 0.272 | 4.7 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.9 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 46.3 |
| 11 | T1 | 101 | 0.0 | 0.272 | 4.7 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.9 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 47.2 |
| 12 | R2 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.272 | 8.7 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.9 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 47.3 |
| Appr |  | 284 | 0.4 | 0.272 | 4.8 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.9 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 46.6 |
| All V | cles | 1315 | 1.3 | 0.557 | 6.7 | LOS A | 4.3 | 30.2 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 46.0 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101vv [Menangle Road - Station Street - Future 2021 - Sat Peak - Roundabout]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Future 2021 Saturday Peak - Single Lane Roundabout
11:45am - 12:45pm
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Dema Total veh/h | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lows } \\ & \text { HV } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | of Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 7 | 0.0 | 0.381 | 6.4 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.2 | 0.66 | 0.76 | 44.6 |
| 2 | T1 | 144 | 0.7 | 0.381 | 6.3 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.2 | 0.66 | 0.76 | 45.5 |
| 3 | R2 | 159 | 0.0 | 0.381 | 10.4 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.2 | 0.66 | 0.76 | 45.5 |
| Appro |  | 311 | 0.3 | 0.381 | 8.4 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.2 | 0.66 | 0.76 | 45.5 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 52 | 0.0 | 0.392 | 6.2 | LOS A | 2.6 | 18.2 | 0.68 | 0.76 | 44.4 |
| 5 | T1 | 62 | 0.0 | 0.392 | 6.1 | LOS A | 2.6 | 18.2 | 0.68 | 0.76 | 45.3 |
| 6 | R2 | 216 | 0.0 | 0.392 | 10.2 | LOS A | 2.6 | 18.2 | 0.68 | 0.76 | 45.3 |
| Appro |  | 329 | 0.0 | 0.392 | 8.8 | LOS A | 2.6 | 18.2 | 0.68 | 0.76 | 45.2 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 22 | 0.0 | 0.397 | 5.2 | LOS A | 2.5 | 17.6 | 0.58 | 0.67 | 45.1 |
| 8 | T1 | 197 | 2.1 | 0.397 | 5.2 | LOS A | 2.5 | 17.6 | 0.58 | 0.67 | 46.0 |
| 9 | R2 | 185 | 0.0 | 0.397 | 9.2 | LOS A | 2.5 | 17.6 | 0.58 | 0.67 | 46.1 |
| Appro |  | 404 | 1.0 | 0.397 | 7.0 | LOS A | 2.5 | 17.6 | 0.58 | 0.67 | 46.0 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 122 | 0.9 | 0.342 | 6.1 | LOS A | 2.1 | 14.5 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 45.6 |
| 11 | T1 | 168 | 0.0 | 0.342 | 6.1 | LOS A | 2.1 | 14.5 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 46.5 |
| 12 | R2 | 15 | 0.0 | 0.342 | 10.1 | LOS A | 2.1 | 14.5 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 46.6 |
| Appro |  | 305 | 0.3 | 0.342 | 6.3 | LOS A | 2.1 | 14.5 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 46.2 |
| All Ve |  | 1349 | 0.5 | 0.397 | 7.6 | LOS A | 2.6 | 18.2 | 0.64 | 0.72 | 45.7 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101v [Menangle Road - Station Street - Future 2032-AM Peak]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Future 2032 AM Peak - Priority Controlled
7:30am-8:30am
Stop (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Demand Total veh/h | $\begin{gathered} =\text { lows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | f Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 17 | 0.0 | 0.257 | 5.3 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 48.6 |
| 2 | T1 | 422 | 2.2 | 0.257 | 0.2 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 49.1 |
| 3 | R2 | 69 | 0.0 | 0.257 | 5.3 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 48.6 |
| Appr |  | 508 | 1.9 | 0.257 | 1.0 | NA | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 49.0 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 77 | 0.0 | 2.123 | 1032.3 | LOS F | 115.9 | 811.3 | 1.00 | 6.02 | 3.2 |
| 5 | T1 | 44 | 0.0 | 2.123 | 1036.7 | LOS F | 115.9 | 811.3 | 1.00 | 6.02 | 3.2 |
| 6 | R2 | 202 | 0.0 | 2.123 | 1054.1 | LOS F | 115.9 | 811.3 | 1.00 | 6.02 | 3.2 |
| Appr |  | 323 | 0.0 | 2.123 | 1046.5 | LOS F | 115.9 | 811.3 | 1.00 | 6.02 | 3.2 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 36 | 11.8 | 0.250 | 6.7 | LOS A | 1.4 | 10.5 | 0.51 | 0.38 | 46.3 |
| 8 | T1 | 145 | 8.0 | 0.250 | 1.7 | LOS A | 1.4 | 10.5 | 0.51 | 0.38 | 46.9 |
| 9 | R2 | 184 | 0.6 | 0.250 | 6.7 | LOS A | 1.4 | 10.5 | 0.51 | 0.38 | 46.4 |
| Appr |  | 365 | 4.6 | 0.250 | 4.7 | NA | 1.4 | 10.5 | 0.51 | 0.38 | 46.6 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 602 | 1.6 | 0.864 | 20.6 | LOS B | 14.7 | 104.1 | 0.83 | 1.74 | 38.9 |
| 11 | T1 | 66 | 0.0 | 0.864 | 31.8 | LOS C | 14.7 | 104.1 | 0.83 | 1.74 | 38.8 |
| 12 | R2 | 5 | 20.0 | 0.864 | 46.8 | LOS D | 14.7 | 104.1 | 0.83 | 1.74 | 38.7 |
| Appr |  | 674 | 1.6 | 0.864 | 21.9 | LOS B | 14.7 | 104.1 | 0.83 | 1.74 | 38.9 |
| All Ve | cles | 1871 | 2.0 | 2.123 | 189.9 | NA | 115.9 | 811.3 | 0.60 | 1.77 | 13.8 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101v [Menangle Road - Station Street - Future 2032 - PM Peak]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Future 2032 PM Peak - Priority Controlled
4:30pm - 5:30pm
Stop (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Deman Total veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { =lows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | f Queue <br> Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 13 | 0.0 | 0.218 | 6.9 | LOS A | 1.2 | 8.5 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 46.8 |
| 2 | T1 | 168 | 0.6 | 0.218 | 1.8 | LOS A | 1.2 | 8.5 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 47.2 |
| 3 | R2 | 140 | 0.0 | 0.218 | 6.9 | LOS A | 1.2 | 8.5 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 46.8 |
| Appr |  | 321 | 0.3 | 0.218 | 4.2 | NA | 1.2 | 8.5 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 47.0 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 39 | 0.0 | 1.641 | 611.0 | LOS F | 51.0 | 379.6 | 1.00 | 4.42 | 5.1 |
| 5 | T1 | 37 | 0.0 | 1.641 | 621.5 | LOS F | 51.0 | 379.6 | 1.00 | 4.42 | 5.1 |
| 6 | R2 | 107 | 12.7 | 1.641 | 642.9 | LOS F | 51.0 | 379.6 | 1.00 | 4.42 | 5.1 |
| Appr |  | 183 | 7.5 | 1.641 | 631.8 | LOS F | 51.0 | 379.6 | 1.00 | 4.42 | 5.1 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 119 | 0.0 | 0.514 | 5.7 | LOS A | 4.2 | 29.6 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 46.8 |
| 8 | T1 | 381 | 1.9 | 0.514 | 0.9 | LOS A | 4.2 | 29.6 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 47.2 |
| 9 | R2 | 414 | 0.0 | 0.514 | 5.8 | LOS A | 4.2 | 29.6 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 46.7 |
| Appr |  | 914 | 0.8 | 0.514 | 3.7 | NA | 4.2 | 29.6 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 46.9 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 220 | 0.5 | 0.869 | 34.6 | LOS C | 11.7 | 82.2 | 0.55 | 1.56 | 31.4 |
| 11 | T1 | 114 | 0.0 | 0.869 | 60.6 | LOS E | 11.7 | 82.2 | 0.55 | 1.56 | 31.3 |
| 12 | R2 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.869 | 61.6 | LOS E | 11.7 | 82.2 | 0.55 | 1.56 | 31.4 |
| Approach |  | 342 | 0.3 | 0.869 | 43.9 | LOS D | 11.7 | 82.2 | 0.55 | 1.56 | 31.3 |
| All Vehicles |  | 1760 | 1.3 | 1.641 | 77.0 | NA | 51.0 | 379.6 | 0.51 | 0.98 | 24.0 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101v [Menangle Road - Station Street - Future 2032 - Sat Peak]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Future 2032 Sat Peak
11:45am - 12:45pm
Stop (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Demand Total veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { lows } \\ \text { HV } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | f Queue <br> Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 9 | 0.0 | 0.213 | 5.7 | LOS A | 1.1 | 7.9 | 0.37 | 0.29 | 47.1 |
| 2 | T1 | 179 | 0.6 | 0.213 | 0.9 | LOS A | 1.1 | 7.9 | 0.37 | 0.29 | 47.5 |
| 3 | R2 | 168 | 0.0 | 0.213 | 5.7 | LOS A | 1.1 | 7.9 | 0.37 | 0.29 | 47.1 |
| Appr |  | 357 | 0.3 | 0.213 | 3.3 | NA | 1.1 | 7.9 | 0.37 | 0.29 | 47.3 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 58 | 0.0 | 1.355 | 341.7 | LOS F | 70.5 | 493.6 | 1.00 | 5.30 | 8.5 |
| 5 | T1 | 80 | 0.0 | 1.355 | 348.2 | LOS F | 70.5 | 493.6 | 1.00 | 5.30 | 8.5 |
| 6 | R2 | 239 | 0.0 | 1.355 | 357.8 | LOS F | 70.5 | 493.6 | 1.00 | 5.30 | 8.5 |
| Appr |  | 377 | 0.0 | 1.355 | 353.3 | LOS F | 70.5 | 493.6 | 1.00 | 5.30 | 8.5 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 46 | 0.0 | 0.277 | 5.3 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.9 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 47.1 |
| 8 | T1 | 232 | 2.3 | 0.277 | 0.6 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.9 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 47.6 |
| 9 | R2 | 216 | 0.0 | 0.277 | 5.4 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.9 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 47.1 |
| Appr |  | 494 | 1.1 | 0.277 | 3.1 | NA | 1.5 | 10.9 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 47.3 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 152 | 0.7 | 0.635 | 12.5 | LOS A | 4.7 | 33.3 | 0.55 | 1.13 | 40.3 |
| 11 | T1 | 184 | 0.0 | 0.635 | 21.6 | LOS B | 4.7 | 33.3 | 0.55 | 1.13 | 40.3 |
| 12 | R2 | 18 | 0.0 | 0.635 | 26.3 | LOS B | 4.7 | 33.3 | 0.55 | 1.13 | 40.3 |
| Approach |  | 354 | 0.3 | 0.635 | 17.9 | LOS B | 4.7 | 33.3 | 0.55 | 1.13 | 40.3 |
| All Vehicles |  | 1581 | 0.5 | 1.355 | 89.9 | NA | 70.5 | 493.6 | 0.54 | 1.67 | 22.2 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101vv [Menangle Road - Station Street - Future 2032-AM Peak - Roundabout]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Future 2032 AM Peak - Single Lane Roundabout
7:30am-8:30am
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Dema Total veh/h |  | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | f Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road sec mer min |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 17 | 0.0 | 0.607 | 8.4 | LOS A | 5.3 | 37.9 | 0.77 | 0.86 | 44.4 |
| 2 | T1 | 422 | 2.2 | 0.607 | 8.4 | LOS A | 5.3 | 37.9 | 0.77 | 0.86 | 45.2 |
| 3 | R2 | 69 | 0.0 | 0.607 | 12.4 | LOS A | 5.3 | 37.9 | 0.77 | 0.86 | 45.3 |
| Appr |  | 508 | 1.9 | 0.607 | 9.0 | LOS A | 5.3 | 37.9 | 0.77 | 0.86 | 45.2 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 77 | 0.0 | 0.358 | 5.7 | LOS A | 2.3 | 15.8 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 44.8 |
| 5 | T1 | 44 | 0.0 | 0.358 | 5.6 | LOS A | 2.3 | 15.8 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 45.6 |
| 6 | R2 | 202 | 0.0 | 0.358 | 9.7 | LOS A | 2.3 | 15.8 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 45.7 |
| Appr | ch | 323 | 0.0 | 0.358 | 8.2 | LOS A | 2.3 | 15.8 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 45.4 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 36 | 11.8 | 0.301 | 4.0 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.4 | 0.37 | 0.55 | 45.5 |
| 8 | T1 | 145 | 8.0 | 0.301 | 3.9 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.4 | 0.37 | 0.55 | 46.5 |
| 9 | R2 | 184 | 0.6 | 0.301 | 7.9 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.4 | 0.37 | 0.55 | 46.5 |
| Appr |  | 365 | 4.6 | 0.301 | 5.9 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.4 | 0.37 | 0.55 | 46.4 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 602 | 1.6 | 0.924 | 31.5 | LOS C | 21.2 | 150.1 | 1.00 | 1.69 | 34.8 |
| 11 | T1 | 66 | 0.0 | 0.924 | 31.4 | LOS C | 21.2 | 150.1 | 1.00 | 1.69 | 35.3 |
| 12 | R2 | 5 | 20.0 | 0.924 | 36.6 | LOS C | 21.2 | 150.1 | 1.00 | 1.69 | 35.2 |
| Appr |  | 674 | 1.6 | 0.924 | 31.5 | LOS C | 21.2 | 150.1 | 1.00 | 1.69 | 34.8 |
| All V | cles | 1871 | 2.0 | 0.924 | 16.4 | LOS B | 21.2 | 150.1 | 0.75 | 1.07 | 41.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101vv [Menangle Road - Station Street - Future 2032-PM Peak - Roundabout]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Future 2032 PM Peak - Single Lane Roundabout
4:30pm - 5:30pm
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Dema Total veh/h |  | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | f Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 11 | 0.0 | 0.293 | 5.9 | LOS A | 1.7 | 11.7 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 45.0 |
| 2 | T1 | 136 | 0.8 | 0.293 | 5.9 | LOS A | 1.7 | 11.7 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 45.9 |
| 3 | R2 | 98 | 0.0 | 0.293 | 9.9 | LOS A | 1.7 | 11.7 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 45.9 |
| Appr |  | 244 | 0.4 | 0.293 | 7.5 | LOS A | 1.7 | 11.7 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 45.9 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.188 | 7.6 | LOS A | 1.1 | 8.2 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 43.8 |
| 5 | T1 | 28 | 0.0 | 0.188 | 7.6 | LOS A | 1.1 | 8.2 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 44.6 |
| 6 | R2 | 68 | 12.3 | 0.188 | 12.2 | LOS A | 1.1 | 8.2 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 44.5 |
| Appr |  | 117 | 7.2 | 0.188 | 10.3 | LOS A | 1.1 | 8.2 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 44.4 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 25 | 0.0 | 0.557 | 4.6 | LOS A | 4.3 | 30.2 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 45.2 |
| 8 | T1 | 309 | 2.0 | 0.557 | 4.6 | LOS A | 4.3 | 30.2 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 46.1 |
| 9 | R2 | 335 | 0.0 | 0.557 | 8.6 | LOS A | 4.3 | 30.2 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 46.1 |
| Appr |  | 669 | 0.9 | 0.557 | 6.6 | LOS A | 4.3 | 30.2 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 46.1 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 177 | 0.6 | 0.272 | 4.7 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.9 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 46.3 |
| 11 | T1 | 101 | 0.0 | 0.272 | 4.7 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.9 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 47.2 |
| 12 | R2 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.272 | 8.7 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.9 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 47.3 |
| Appr |  | 284 | 0.4 | 0.272 | 4.8 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.9 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 46.6 |
| All V | cles | 1315 | 1.3 | 0.557 | 6.7 | LOS A | 4.3 | 30.2 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 46.0 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101vv [Menangle Road - Station Street - Future 2032-Sat Peak - Roundabout]

Menangle Road / Station Street
Future 2032 Sat Peak - Single Lane Roundabout
11:45am-12:45pm
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mov } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OD } \\ & \text { Mov } \end{aligned}$ | Dema <br> Total veh/h | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lows } \\ & \text { HV } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles <br> veh | of Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 9 | 0.0 | 0.470 | 7.7 | LOS A | 3.3 | 23.1 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 44.0 |
| 2 | T1 | 179 | 0.6 | 0.470 | 7.7 | LOS A | 3.3 | 23.1 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 44.9 |
| 3 | R2 | 168 | 0.0 | 0.470 | 11.8 | LOS A | 3.3 | 23.1 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 44.9 |
| Appr |  | 357 | 0.3 | 0.470 | 9.6 | LOS A | 3.3 | 23.1 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 44.9 |
| East: Station Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 58 | 0.0 | 0.483 | 7.5 | LOS A | 3.7 | 25.6 | 0.77 | 0.85 | 43.9 |
| 5 | T1 | 80 | 0.0 | 0.483 | 7.5 | LOS A | 3.7 | 25.6 | 0.77 | 0.85 | 44.7 |
| 6 | R2 | 239 | 0.0 | 0.483 | 11.5 | LOS A | 3.7 | 25.6 | 0.77 | 0.85 | 44.7 |
| Appr |  | 377 | 0.0 | 0.483 | 10.0 | LOS A | 3.7 | 25.6 | 0.77 | 0.85 | 44.6 |
| North: Menangle Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 46 | 0.0 | 0.496 | 5.7 | LOS A | 3.5 | 24.4 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 44.9 |
| 8 | T1 | 232 | 2.3 | 0.496 | 5.7 | LOS A | 3.5 | 24.4 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 45.8 |
| 9 | R2 | 216 | 0.0 | 0.496 | 9.7 | LOS A | 3.5 | 24.4 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 45.9 |
| Approach |  | 494 | 1.1 | 0.496 | 7.4 | LOS A | 3.5 | 24.4 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 45.8 |
| West: Woodbridge Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 152 | 0.7 | 0.423 | 6.9 | LOS A | 2.8 | 19.7 | 0.74 | 0.78 | 45.3 |
| 11 | T1 | 184 | 0.0 | 0.423 | 6.9 | LOS A | 2.8 | 19.7 | 0.74 | 0.78 | 46.2 |
| 12 | R2 | 18 | 0.0 | 0.423 | 10.9 | LOS A | 2.8 | 19.7 | 0.74 | 0.78 | 46.2 |
| Appr |  | 354 | 0.3 | 0.423 | 7.1 | LOS A | 2.8 | 19.7 | 0.74 | 0.78 | 45.8 |
| All V | cles | 1581 | 0.5 | 0.496 | 8.5 | LOS A | 3.7 | 25.6 | 0.72 | 0.79 | 45.3 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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## Appendix E Concepts of Intersection Delay and Level of Service

## Concepts of Carriageway Capacity and Level of Service

The capacity of major streets within an urban area can be based on an assessment of their operating Level of Service.

Level of service is defined within Austroads' Guide to Traffic Management Part 3: Traffic Studies and Analysis as:
> '... a qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream, and their perception by motorists and/or passengers. A level of service definition generally desc ribes these conditions in terms of factors such as speed and travel time, freedom to manoeuvre, traffic interruptions, comfort and convenience, and sa fety.'

Levels of service (LoS) are designated from ' $A$ ' to ' $F$ ' from best (free flow conditions) to worst (forced flow with stop start operation, long queues and delays) as follows:

## LEVELS OF SERVICE

A - Free flow (almost no delays)
B - Stable flow (slight delays)
C - Stable flow (acceptable delays)
D - Approaching unstable flow (tolerable delays)
E - Unstable flow (congestion; intolerable delays), and
F - Forced flow (jammed)
A service volume, as defined by Austroads, is the maximum number of vehiclesthat can pass over a given section of roadway in one direction during one hour while operating conditions are maintained at a specified level of service. It is suggested that ideally arterial and sub-arterial roads should not exceed senvice volumes at LoS ' $C$ '. At this level, whilst most drivers are restricted in their freedom to manoeuvre, operating speeds are still reasonable a nd acceptable delays experienced. However, in urban situations, arterial and sub-arterial roads operating at LoS ‘D' a re still considered adequate. Traffic volumes along urban roads with intemupted and unintemupted flow conditions are included in Table E1 and Table E2 respec tively.
Table E1: Level of Service of Intemupted Flow Conditions along Urban Roads (One Way Hourly Volumes)

| Reference | Description | Level of Semice |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| 2 U | 2 Lane Undivided | 540 | 630 | 720 | 810 | 900 | - |
| 4UP | 4 Lane Undivided with two parking lanes | 540 | 630 | 720 | 810 | 900 | - |
| 4U | 4 Lane Undivided with some parking | 900 | 1050 | 1200 | 1350 | 1500 | - |
| 4UC | 4 Lane Undivided with clearways | 1080 | 1260 | 1440 | 1620 | 1800 | - |
| 4D | 4 Lane Divided with clearways | 1140 | 1330 | 1520 | 1710 | 1900 | - |
| 6 U | 6 Lane Undivided | 1440 | 1680 | 1920 | 2160 | 2400 | - |
| 6D | 6 Lane Divided with clearways | 1740 | 2030 | 2320 | 2610 | 2900 | - |

Table E2: Level of Service of Uninterrupted Flow Conditions a long Urban Roads (One Way Hourly Volumes)

| Reference | Description | Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| 2 U | 2 Lane Undivided | 760 | 880 | 1000 | 1130 | 1260 | - |
| 4UP | 4 Lane Undivided with two parking lanes | 1260 | 1470 | 1680 | 1890 | 2100 | - |
| 4U | 4 Lane Undivided with some parking | 1510 | 1760 | 2010 | 2270 | 2520 | - |
| 4UC | 4 Lane Undivided with clearways | 1600 | 1860 | 2130 | 2400 | 2660 | - |
| 4D | 4 Lane Divided with clearways | 2250 | 2620 | 3000 | 3380 | 3740 | - |
| 6U | 6 Lane Undivided | 2440 | 2840 | 3250 | 3660 | 4060 | - |
| 6D | 6 Lane Divided with clearways | 760 | 880 | 1000 | 1130 | 1260 | - |

## Guidelines for Evaluation of Intersection Operation

The RTA Guide to Traffic Generating Developments (Oc tober 2002, Issue 2.2), details the assessment of intersections. The assessment of the level of service of an intersection is based on the evaluation of the following Measures of Effectiveness:
(a) Average delay (seconds/veh) (all forms of control)
(b) Delay to critical movement (seconds/veh) (all forms of control)
(c) Degree of saturation (traffic signals and roundabouts)
(d) Cycle length (traffic signals)

SIDRA was used to calculate the relevant intersection parameters. The SIDRA software is an advanced lane-based micro-a nalytical tool for design and evaluation of individual intersections and networks of intersections including modelling of separate movement classes (light vehicles, heavy vehic les, buses, cyclists, large trucks, light rail/ trams and so on). It provides estimates of capacity, level of service and a wide range of performance measures, including; delay, queue length and stops for vehicles and pedestrians, as well as fuel consumption, pollution emissions a nd operating costs.

It can be used to a nalyse signalised intersections (fixed-time / pretimed and actuated), signalised and unsignalised pedestrian crossings, roundabouts (unsigna lised), rounda bouts with metering signals, fullysigna lised roundabouts, two-way stop sign a nd give-way / yield sign control, all-way stop sign control, single point interchanges (signalised), freeway dia mond interchanges (signalised, roundabout, sign control), diverging dia mond interchanges and other altemative intersections and interchanges. It can also be used for unintemupted traffic flow conditions and merge a nalysis.

The best indic ator of the level of service at an intersection is the average delay experienced by vehicles at that intersection. Fortraffic signals, the average delay over all movements should be taken. For roundabouts and priority control intersections (with Stop and Give Way signs or operating under the Tjunction rule) the critic al movement for level of service a ssessment should be that with the highest average delay.
With traffic signals, delays per approach tend to be equalised, subject to a ny over-riding requirements of signal co-ordination as well as to variations within individual movements. With roundabouts and priority control intersections, the critical criterion for assessment is the movement with the highest delay per vehicle. With thistype of control the volume balance might be such that some movements suffer high levels of delay while other movements have minimal delay. An overall a verage delay for the intersection of 25 seconds might not be satisfactory if the average delay on one movement is 60 seconds.

The average delay for LoS ' $E$ ' should be no more than 70 seconds. The accepted maximum practical cycle length for traffic signals under saturated conditions is 120-140 seconds. Under these conditions 120 seconds is near maximum for two and three phase intersections and 140 seconds near maximum for more complexphase designs. Drivers and pedestria ns expectcycle lengths of these magnitudes and their inherent delays in peak hours. A cycle length of 140 seconds for an intersection which is almost saturated has an a verage vehicle delay of about 70 seconds, although this can vary. If the average vehicle delay is more than 70 seconds, the intersection is assumed to be at LoS' $F$ '.
Table E3 sets out average delays for different levels of service. There is no consistent correlation between definitions of levels of service for road links as defined elsewhere in this section, and the ranges set out in Table E3. In assigning a level of service, the average delay to the motoring public needsto be considered, keeping in mind the location of the intersection. For example, drivers in inner urban areas of Sydney have a higher tolerance of delay than drivers in country areas. Table E3 provides a recommended ba seline for a ssessment.

Table E3: Level of Servic e C riteria for Intersections

| tevel of Senice | Average Delay per Vehicle (sec/veh) | Tratic Signals | Priority Controlled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $0<x<14$ | Good operation | Good operation |
| B | $14<x<28$ | Good with acceptable delays and spare capacity | Acceptable delays and spare capacity |
| C | $28<x<42$ | Satisfa ctory | Sa tisfactory, but c rash history study required |
| D | $42<x<56$ | Operating nearcapacity | Operating nearcapacity and crash history study required |
| E | $56<x$ | At capacity, incidents will cause excessive delays | At capacity, requires other control mode |

The figures in Table E3 are intended as a guide only. Any partic ular assessment should take into account site-specific factors including maximum queue lengths (and their effect on lane blocking), the influence of nearby intersections a nd the sensitivity of the location to delays. In many situations, a comparison of the current and future average delay provides a better appreciation of the impact of a proposal, and not simply the change in the level of service.
The intersection degree of saturation (DoS) can also be used to measure the performance of isolated intersections. The DoSvalue can be determined by computer based assessment programs. At intersections controlled by traffic signals, both queue length and delays inc rease rapidly as DoS a pproaches 1.0. An upper limit of 0.900 is a ppropriate, however when DoS exceeds 0.850 , overflow queues start to become a problem. Satisfactory intersection operation is generally achieved with a DoS of about 0.700-0.800. (Note that these figures are based on isolated signalised intersections with cycle lengths of 120 seconds. In co-ordinated signal systems DoS might be actively maximised at key intersections).

Although in some situations additional traffic does not alter the level of service, particularly where the level of service is ' $E$ ' or ' $F$ ', additional capacity may still be required. This is partic ularly appropriate for LoS ' $F$ ', where small increases in flow can cause disproportionately greater inc reasesin delay. In this situation, it is advisable to consider means of control to maintain the existing level of absolute delay. Suggested criteria for the evaluation of the capacity of signalised intersections based on the DoS are summarised below in

## Table E4.

Table E4: Criteria for Evaluating Capacity of Signalised Intersections

| level of Service | Optimum Cycle <br> length (seconds) | Movement Degree of <br> Saturation (Dos) | Intersection Degree of <br> Saturation (Dos) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A - Very good | $<90$ | $<0.70$ | $<0.70$ |
| B - Good | $<90$ | $<0.70$ | $<0.70$ |
| C - Satisfactory | $90-120$ | $0.70-0.80$ | $0.70-0.85$ |
| D - Poor | $120-140$ | $0.80-0.85$ | $0.85-0.90$ |
| E/F - Extra capacity required | $>140$ | $>0.85$ | $>0.90$ |

## Please visit www.stantec.com to learn more about how

 Stantec design with community in mind.
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: www.street-directory.com.au

[^1]:    ' www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: https://busabout.com.au/pdf/timetables/49_889_timetable.pdf

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: https://transportnsw.info/routes/details/private-bus-services/900/08900

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: NSW Transport for NSW - Centre for Road Safety (http://roadsafety.transport.nsw.gov.au/index.html)

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Assumes development operates at $60 \%$ capacity during peak hour, i.e. $60 \%$ capacity leaving and $60 \%$ capacity new patrons arriving during same 60-minute period
    ${ }^{2}$ Assumes development operates at $100 \%$ capacity during peak hour, i.e. $100 \%$ capacity leaving and $100 \%$ capacity new patrons arrive during same 60-minute period
    ${ }^{3}$ Assumes development operates at $40 \%$ capacity during peak hour, i.e. $40 \%$ capacity leaving and $40 \%$ capacity new patrons arriving during same 60-minute period

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Staff trips assumed to arrive outside of 60-minute period
    ${ }^{2}$ Assumes each operator leaves the site within the peak hour
    ${ }^{3}$ Children's play area is considered an ancillary land use, and the vehicle trips that it would likely generate have been incorporated within the other land uses
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